

Nambu dynamics and its noncanonical Hamiltonian representation in many degrees of freedom systems

Atsushi Horikoshi*

Department of Natural Sciences, Tokyo City University, Tokyo 158-8557, Japan

*E-mail: horikosi@tcu.ac.jp

Received February 27, 2021; Revised April 8, 2021; Accepted June 9, 2021; Published June 14, 2021

Nambu dynamics is a generalized Hamiltonian dynamics of more than two variables, whose time evolutions are given by the Nambu bracket, a generalization of the canonical Poisson bracket. Nambu dynamics can always be represented in the form of noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics by defining the noncanonical Poisson bracket by means of the Nambu bracket. For the time evolution to be consistent, the Nambu bracket must satisfy the fundamental identity, while the noncanonical Poisson bracket must satisfy the Jacobi identity. However, in many degrees of freedom systems, it is well known that the fundamental identity does not hold. In the present paper we show that, even if the fundamental identity is violated, the Jacobi identity for the corresponding noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics could hold. As an example we evaluate these identities for a semiclassical system of two coupled oscillators.

Subject Index A30, A60

1. Introduction

There are various ways to generalize the Hamiltonian dynamics. In the present paper, we focus on two generalized dynamics, the Nambu dynamics and the noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics. The Nambu dynamics is a generalized Hamiltonian dynamics that is defined in the extended phase space spanned by $N (\geq 3)$ variables (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) [1]. Taking the Liouville theorem as a guiding principle, Nambu generalized the Hamilton equations of motion to the Nambu equations, which are defined by $N - 1$ Hamiltonians and the Nambu bracket, an N -ary generalization of the canonical Poisson bracket. In order for the variable transformation including the time evolution to be consistent, the Nambu bracket must satisfy the fundamental identity, a generalization of the Jacobi identity [2–4]. On the other hand, the noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics is also defined in the N -dimensional extended phase space, and the Hamilton equations of motion are generalized to the noncanonical ones, which are defined by one Hamiltonian and the noncanonical Poisson bracket [5]. Although the noncanonical Poisson bracket has the same structure as the canonical Poisson bracket, it is defined by means of the variable-dependent $N \times N$ Poisson matrix. The noncanonical Poisson bracket must satisfy the Jacobi identity for the consistent variable transformation including the time evolution. It has been shown that the Nambu dynamics can always be represented in the form of the noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics with the noncanonical Poisson bracket defined by the Nambu bracket [4,6].

Although the structure of the Nambu dynamics has impressed many authors, it has been revealed that the Nambu bracket exhibits serious difficulties in many degrees of freedom systems [1–4,7]. This is because in such systems the Nambu bracket does not satisfy the fundamental identity. Since the fundamental identity is too strict, each degree of freedom must be decoupled to satisfy the identity.

On the other hand, for the noncanonical Poisson bracket, whether or not the Jacobi identity holds is not a matter of the number of degrees of freedom, but rather a matter of the nature of the Poisson matrix.

In the present paper, we study the Nambu dynamics and the corresponding noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics in many degrees of freedom systems, and show that even if the fundamental identity is violated, the Jacobi identity for corresponding dynamics could hold. That is, even if the consistent time evolution is broken in the Nambu dynamics, it could be restored in the corresponding noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics. As an example we evaluate these two identities for a simplified Hénon–Heiles model [8], a system of two coupled oscillators whose semiclassical dynamics has been studied using the hidden Nambu formalism [9,10].

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Sect. 2 we review the Nambu dynamics and its noncanonical Hamiltonian representation with proofs of the fundamental identity and the corresponding Jacobi identity. In Sect. 3 we show the violation of the fundamental identity for the Nambu bracket in many degrees of freedom systems, and give the condition under which the Jacobi identity for the corresponding noncanonical Poisson bracket holds. We also present an example of a two degrees of freedom system. Our conclusions are given in the last section.

2. Nambu dynamics and noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics

We begin with a brief review of the Nambu dynamics [1] and the relationship with the noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics [4,6] in one degree of freedom systems. Throughout this paper we treat the case of $N = 3$, and therefore we consider the dynamics of three Nambu variables (x_1, x_2, x_3) in this section. The generalization for arbitrary $N \geq 3$ is straightforward.

2.1. Nambu dynamics

In the Nambu dynamics, the canonical Poisson bracket is generalized to the Nambu bracket defined by means of the 3D Jacobian,

$$\{A, B, C\} \equiv \frac{\partial(A, B, C)}{\partial(x_1, x_2, x_3)} = \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_k}, \quad (1)$$

where A , B , and C are any functions of the three variables (x_1, x_2, x_3) and ϵ_{ijk} is the 3D Levi–Civita symbol. We employ the summation convention over repeated indices throughout this paper. In terms of the Nambu bracket, the Nambu equation for any function $f = f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ can be written as

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \{f, H, G\} = \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_k}, \quad (2)$$

where H and G are Nambu Hamiltonians. The time evolution according to this equation preserves the 3D phase space volume, and therefore the Liouville theorem holds in the Nambu dynamics.

The Nambu bracket of Eq. (1) must satisfy the following fundamental identity [2–4]:

$$\{\{A, B, C\}, D, E\} = \{\{A, D, E\}, B, C\} + \{A, \{B, D, E\}, C\} + \{A, B, \{C, D, E\}\}. \quad (3)$$

Here D and E are any functions of the three variables, and play the roles of the generating functions of a variable transformation. In particular, if we choose them as the Nambu Hamiltonians, $(D, E) = (H, G)$, then the identity of Eq. (3) means that the distributive property of time derivatives holds:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \{A, B, C\} = \{\frac{d}{dt} A, B, C\} + \{A, \frac{d}{dt} B, C\} + \{A, B, \frac{d}{dt} C\}. \quad (4)$$

Therefore, if the fundamental identity is violated, the consistent time evolution is broken, at least in the sense that the distributive property does not hold.¹

The fundamental identity can be proved as follows [3]. The difference between the left-hand side and the right-hand side of Eq. (3) can be represented as

$$\text{lhs} - \text{rhs} = -(\epsilon_{i\mu\nu}\epsilon_{\rho jk} + \epsilon_{i\nu\rho}\epsilon_{\mu jk} + \epsilon_{i\rho\mu}\epsilon_{\nu jk}) \partial_\mu A \partial_\nu B \partial_\rho C \partial_i (\partial_j D \partial_k E), \quad (5)$$

which can be rewritten in terms of the generalized Kronecker delta:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lhs} - \text{rhs} &= -\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mu\nu\rho}^{lmn} \epsilon_{ilm} \epsilon_{njk} \partial_\mu A \partial_\nu B \partial_\rho C \partial_i (\partial_j D \partial_k E) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \epsilon_{lmn} \epsilon_{ilm} \epsilon_{njk} \partial_\mu A \partial_\nu B \partial_\rho C \partial_i (\partial_j D \partial_k E) \\ &= -\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_\mu A \partial_\nu B \partial_\rho C \partial_i (\partial_j D \partial_k E) \\ &= -\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \epsilon_{ijk} (\partial_i \partial_j D \partial_k E + \partial_j D \partial_i \partial_k E) \partial_\mu A \partial_\nu B \partial_\rho C \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Note that we do not distinguish upper and lower indices.

2.2. Noncanonical Hamiltonian representation

Start with the Nambu equation of Eq. (2). Using one of the Nambu Hamiltonians, G , we define the Poisson matrix $J_{ij}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ as

$$J_{ij} \equiv \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_k}, \quad (7)$$

which is anti-symmetric: $J_{ji} = -J_{ij}$. In terms of this matrix, we define the noncanonical Poisson bracket as

$$\{A, B\}_G \equiv J_{ij} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_j} = \{A, B, G\}, \quad (8)$$

where A and B are any functions of (x_1, x_2, x_3) . Then we can rewrite the Nambu equation as the noncanonical Hamilton's equation of motion:

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \{f, H, G\} = \{f, H\}_G = J_{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_j}. \quad (9)$$

The Jacobi identity for the noncanonical Poisson bracket of Eq. (8) immediately follows from the fundamental identity. Let $C = G$, $E = G$, and $D = C$ in the fundamental identity of Eq. (3), then we obtain the Jacobi identity:

$$\{\{A, B\}_G, C\}_G = \{\{A, C\}_G, B\}_G + \{A, \{B, C\}_G\}_G. \quad (10)$$

This way of representing the Nambu dynamics in the form of noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics is not unique. For example, defining another Poisson matrix as

$$\tilde{J}_{ij} \equiv -\epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_k} \quad (11)$$

¹ The violation of the Jacobi identity also implies the breaking of the consistent time evolution. It is an interesting subject to study how the violation of these identities affects the actual dynamics. For example, see Ref. [11].

and another noncanonical Poisson bracket as

$$\{A, B\}_H \equiv \tilde{J}_{ij} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_j} = \{A, B, H\}, \quad (12)$$

we obtain another expression for the equation of motion:

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \{f, H, G\} = \{f, G\}_H = \tilde{J}_{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_j}. \quad (13)$$

The bracket of Eq. (12) also satisfies the Jacobi identity. Note that the Liouville theorem holds in the dynamics of both Eqs. (9) and (13).

3. Many degrees of freedom systems

It is possible to extend the Nambu dynamics to many degrees of freedom systems. However, in general, the fundamental identity does not hold in such systems [1–4, 7]. Therefore it is nontrivial whether the Jacobi identity for the noncanonical Poisson bracket defined by the Nambu bracket holds or not. Here we give the conditions under which the identities hold. As an example we evaluate these identities for a semiclassical system of two coupled oscillators.

3.1. Nambu dynamics

Consider a system of $3n$ Nambu variables $(x_1^1, x_2^1, x_3^1, \dots, x_1^n, x_2^n, x_3^n)$. Their time evolution can be given in the same form as Eq. (2) by extending the definition of the Nambu bracket,

$$\{A, B, C\} \equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{\partial(A, B, C)}{\partial(x_1^\alpha, x_2^\alpha, x_3^\alpha)} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_i^\alpha} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_j^\alpha} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_k^\alpha}, \quad (14)$$

where A , B , and C are any functions of the $3n$ variables. In terms of this bracket, the Nambu equation for any function $f = f(x_1^1, x_2^1, x_3^1, \dots, x_1^n, x_2^n, x_3^n)$ can be written as

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \{f, H, G\} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i^\alpha} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_j^\alpha} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_k^\alpha}, \quad (15)$$

where H and G are Nambu Hamiltonians. The Liouville theorem holds as well in this dynamics. Let us try to prove the fundamental identity for the Nambu bracket of Eq. (14). To simplify the equations, we employ the notation $\partial A / \partial x_i^\alpha = \partial_i^\alpha A$. Using the definition of Eq. (14), the difference between the left- and right-hand sides of Eq. (3) can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{lhs} - \text{rhs} \\ &= - \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1}^n (\epsilon_{i\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\rho jk} \partial_\mu^\alpha A \partial_\nu^\alpha B \partial_\rho^\beta C + \epsilon_{i\nu\rho} \epsilon_{\mu jk} \partial_\mu^\beta A \partial_\nu^\alpha B \partial_\rho^\alpha C + \epsilon_{i\rho\mu} \epsilon_{vjk} \partial_\mu^\alpha A \partial_\nu^\beta B \partial_\rho^\alpha C) \\ & \quad \times \partial_i^\alpha (\partial_j^\beta D \partial_k^\beta E). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Unlike the case of one degree of freedom, the difference does not vanish in general, but vanishes under some conditions. For example, consider the case that $3n$ variables are decoupled in the functions D and E ,

$$D = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n D_\alpha(x_1^\alpha, x_2^\alpha, x_3^\alpha), \quad E = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n E_\alpha(x_1^\alpha, x_2^\alpha, x_3^\alpha), \quad (17)$$

where D_α and E_α are only functions of $(x_1^\alpha, x_2^\alpha, x_3^\alpha)$. Then Eq. (16) reads

$$\text{lhs} - \text{rhs} = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\epsilon_{i\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\rho jk} + \epsilon_{i\nu\rho} \epsilon_{\mu jk} + \epsilon_{i\rho\mu} \epsilon_{\nu jk}) \partial_\mu^\alpha A \partial_\nu^\alpha B \partial_\rho^\alpha C \partial_i^\alpha (\partial_j^\alpha D_\alpha \partial_k^\alpha E_\alpha). \quad (18)$$

We can show that this difference becomes zero in the same way as Eq. (6). Although the fundamental identity holds in this case, it is almost meaningless as an identity for many degrees of freedom, because the decomposed D and E as in Eq. (17) mean that there is no interaction between the degrees of freedom. The functions D and E in Eq. (3) play the roles of the generating functions of a variable transformation, and in particular they are the Hamiltonians in the time evolution. Therefore at least one of them must not be decomposed.

If you do not put any conditions on D and E , you have to impose restrictions on A , B , and C . Consider the case that they are functions of a single degree of freedom, $A = A_\alpha$, $B = B_\alpha$, and $C = C_\alpha$. Then the left-hand side of the fundamental identity of Eq. (3) is $\{\{A_\alpha, B_\alpha, C_\alpha\}, D, E\}$, and Eq. (16) reads

$$\text{lhs} - \text{rhs} = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\epsilon_{i\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\rho jk} + \epsilon_{i\nu\rho} \epsilon_{\mu jk} + \epsilon_{i\rho\mu} \epsilon_{\nu jk}) \partial_\mu^\alpha A_\alpha \partial_\nu^\alpha B_\alpha \partial_\rho^\alpha C_\alpha \partial_i^\alpha (\partial_j^\alpha D \partial_k^\alpha E). \quad (19)$$

The same calculation as in Eq. (6) shows that this difference becomes zero.

3.2. Noncanonical Hamiltonian representation

Similar to the case of one degree of freedom, the Nambu dynamics of Eq. (15) can be represented in the form of the noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics. Using the Hamiltonian G , we define the Poisson matrices $J_{ij}^\alpha(x_1^1, x_2^1, x_3^1, \dots, x_1^n, x_2^n, x_3^n)$ as

$$J_{ij}^\alpha \equiv \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_k^\alpha}. \quad (20)$$

In terms of these anti-symmetric matrices, we define the noncanonical Poisson bracket as

$$\{A, B\}_G \equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \{A, B\}_G^\alpha \equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^n J_{ij}^\alpha \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_i^\alpha} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_j^\alpha} = \{A, B, G\}, \quad (21)$$

and then we rewrite the Nambu equation as the noncanonical Hamilton's equation of motion:

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \{f, H, G\} = \{f, H\}_G = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n J_{ij}^\alpha \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i^\alpha} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_j^\alpha}. \quad (22)$$

Since the Nambu bracket of Eq. (14) no longer satisfies the fundamental identity, it is nontrivial whether the noncanonical Poisson bracket of Eq. (21) satisfies the Jacobi identity. Let us find the conditions for the Jacobi identity to hold. The difference between the left- and right-hand sides of Eq. (10) can be represented as

$$\text{lhs} - \text{rhs} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1}^n \left(\{\{A, B\}_G^\alpha, C\}_G^\beta - \{\{A, C\}_G^\alpha, B\}_G^\beta - \{A, \{B, C\}_G^\alpha\}_G^\beta \right), \quad (23)$$

where all the terms with $\alpha = \beta$ vanish, because the Jacobi identity holds for each degree of freedom. For the terms with $\alpha \neq \beta$, after a straightforward calculation we obtain

$$\{\{A, B\}_G^\alpha, C\}_G^\beta - \{\{A, C\}_G^\alpha, B\}_G^\beta - \{A, \{B, C\}_G^\alpha\}_G^\beta + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$$

$$= \left(\partial_k^\beta J_{ij}^\alpha \right) J_{kl}^\beta \left(\partial_i^\alpha A \partial_j^\alpha B \partial_l^\beta C - \partial_i^\alpha A \partial_l^\beta B \partial_j^\alpha C + \partial_l^\beta A \partial_i^\alpha B \partial_j^\alpha C \right) + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta). \quad (24)$$

Therefore if the Poisson matrices satisfy

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^\beta} J_{ij}^\alpha = 0 \quad (\alpha \neq \beta), \quad (25)$$

then Eq. (24) becomes zero, and the Jacobi identity holds.

Consider the case that $3n$ variables are coupled in the Hamiltonian H , but decoupled in the Hamiltonian G :

$$G = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_\alpha(x_1^\alpha, x_2^\alpha, x_3^\alpha). \quad (26)$$

Then the corresponding Poisson matrices of Eq. (20) are functions of the single degree of freedom, $J_{ij}^\alpha = J_{ij}^\alpha(x_1^\alpha, x_2^\alpha, x_3^\alpha)$, and satisfy the condition of Eq. (25), and the Jacobi identity holds. In this case the consistent time evolution is broken in the original Nambu dynamics, but restored in the corresponding noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics. On the other hand, if we define the Poisson matrices by means of the Hamiltonian H ,

$$\tilde{J}_{ij}^\alpha \equiv -\epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_k^\alpha}, \quad (27)$$

and rewrite the Nambu equation as

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \{f, H, G\} = \{f, G\}_H = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \tilde{J}_{ij}^\alpha \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i^\alpha} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_j^\alpha}, \quad (28)$$

then the Jacobi identity does not hold, and the consistent time evolution cannot be restored. This is because $3n$ variables are not decoupled in the Hamiltonian H , and therefore H cannot be written in the decomposed form, $H = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n H_\alpha(x_1^\alpha, x_2^\alpha, x_3^\alpha)$. It should be noted that the Liouville theorem holds in the dynamics of both Eqs. (22) and (28).

3.3. Example: Semiclassical coupled oscillators

As an example of many degrees of freedom systems, consider a 1D system of two quantum oscillators whose Hamiltonian is given by

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2m_1} \hat{p}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2m_2} \hat{p}_2^2 + \frac{m_1 \omega_1^2}{2} \hat{q}_1^2 + \frac{m_2 \omega_2^2}{2} \hat{q}_2^2 + \lambda \hat{q}_1 \hat{q}_2^2. \quad (29)$$

This is a simplified version of the quantum Hénon–Heiles model [8]. The semiclassical equations of motion for the quantum expectation values ($\langle \hat{q}_1 \rangle, \langle \hat{p}_1 \rangle, \langle \hat{q}_1^2 \rangle, \langle \hat{q}_2 \rangle, \langle \hat{p}_2 \rangle, \langle \hat{q}_2^2 \rangle$) are given by approximating the higher-order expectation values by means of the lower ones [12]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{q}_1 \rangle &= \frac{1}{m_1} \langle \hat{p}_1 \rangle, & \frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{q}_2 \rangle &= \frac{1}{m_2} \langle \hat{p}_2 \rangle, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{p}_1 \rangle &= -m_1 \omega_1^2 \langle \hat{q}_1 \rangle - \lambda \langle \hat{q}_2^2 \rangle, & \frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{p}_2 \rangle &\simeq -m_2 \omega_2^2 \langle \hat{q}_2 \rangle - 2\lambda \langle \hat{q}_1 \rangle \langle \hat{q}_2 \rangle, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{q}_1^2 \rangle &\simeq \frac{2}{m_1} \langle \hat{q}_1 \rangle \langle \hat{p}_1 \rangle, & \frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{q}_2^2 \rangle &\simeq \frac{2}{m_2} \langle \hat{q}_2 \rangle \langle \hat{p}_2 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

This semiclassical dynamics can be formulated as the Nambu dynamics using the hidden Nambu formalism [9,10]. We choose $n = 2, N = 3$ Nambu variables as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1^1 \\ x_2^1 \\ x_3^1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \hat{q}_1 \rangle \\ \langle \hat{p}_1 \rangle \\ \langle \hat{q}_1^2 \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 \\ x_2^2 \\ x_3^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \hat{q}_2 \rangle \\ \langle \hat{p}_2 \rangle \\ \langle \hat{q}_2^2 \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (31)$$

and define the Nambu Hamiltonians H and G as

$$H = \frac{1}{2m_1} (x_2^1)^2 + \frac{1}{2m_2} (x_2^2)^2 + \frac{m_1\omega_1^2}{2} x_3^1 + \frac{m_2\omega_2^2}{2} x_3^2 + \lambda x_1^1 x_3^2, \quad (32)$$

$$G = \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 (x_3^\alpha - (x_1^\alpha)^2). \quad (33)$$

Then it can be shown that the Nambu equation (15) reproduces the semiclassical equations (30). This is a semiclassical dynamics with constraints that the quantum fluctuation of each mode, $\langle \hat{q}_\alpha^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{q}_\alpha \rangle^2$, is constant in time. Therefore the Hamiltonian G can be written in the decomposed form of Eq. (33). Since the Hamiltonian H has an interaction term between two degrees of freedom, the fundamental identity for these H and G does not hold [10]. For example, if we choose $(A, B, C) = (x_1^2, x_2^2, x_2^1)$ and $(D, E) = (H, G)$, then the left-hand side of Eq. (3) is zero, whereas the right-hand side is $-\lambda$. This implies that the consistent time evolution is broken in this Nambu dynamics.

Let us see if the Jacobi identity holds in two corresponding types of noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics. First, if we define the Jacobi matrices using the Hamiltonian G as in Eq. (20), they can be written as

$$J^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -2x_1^1 \\ 0 & 2x_1^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -2x_1^2 \\ 0 & 2x_1^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

These satisfy Eq. (25), and therefore the Jacobi identity holds. For example, if we choose $(A, B) = (x_2^1, x_2^2)$ and $C = H$, then both sides of Eq. (10) are zero. On the other hand, if we define the Jacobi matrices in another way using the Hamiltonian H as in Eq. (27), they read

$$\tilde{J}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{m_1\omega_1^2}{2} & \frac{1}{m_1}x_2^1 \\ \frac{m_1\omega_1^2}{2} & 0 & -\lambda x_3^2 \\ -\frac{1}{m_1}x_2^1 & \lambda x_3^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{J}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{m_2\omega_2^2}{2} - \lambda x_1^1 & \frac{1}{m_2}x_2^2 \\ \frac{m_2\omega_2^2}{2} + \lambda x_1^1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{m_2}x_2^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (35)$$

These do not satisfy Eq. (25), and therefore the Jacobi identity is violated. If we choose $(A, B) = (x_2^1, x_2^2)$ and $C = H$ again, then the left-hand side of Eq. (10) is zero, whereas the right-hand side is $-\lambda m_1\omega_1^2 x_1^2$. The consistent time evolution is restored in the noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics with the Poisson matrices of Eq. (34), but remains broken in the dynamics with Eq. (35).

4. Conclusions

It is well known that the Nambu bracket does not satisfy the fundamental identity in many degrees of freedom systems. In the present paper, we have shown that the noncanonical Poisson bracket defined by the Nambu bracket could satisfy the Jacobi identity, and derived the condition for it, Eq. (25). We have given an example of a two degrees of freedom system to show the breaking and restoration of the

consistent time evolution in the Nambu dynamics and the corresponding noncanonical Hamiltonian dynamics.

The violation of the Jacobi identity is an important subject in the generalized Hamiltonian dynamics [13,14]. As for the fundamental identity, its violation in many degrees of freedom systems implies a difficulty with formulating the statistical mechanics of Nambu variables. Therefore it would be interesting to see if we could construct effective statistical mechanics of Nambu variables by means of the noncanonical Hamiltonian representation or its analogs.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute [Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) Joint Usage/Research Center on Mathematics and Theoretical Physics JPMXP0619217849].

References

- [1] Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. D **7**, 2405 (1973).
- [2] D. Sahoo and M. C. Valsakumar, Phys. Rev. A **46**, 4410 (1992).
- [3] D. Sahoo and M. C. Valsakumar, Pramana **40**, 1 (1993).
- [4] L. Takhtajan, Commun. Math. Phys. **160**, 295 (1994).
- [5] P. J. Morrison, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 467 (1998).
- [6] I. Bialynicki-Birula and P. J. Morrison, Phys. Lett. A **158**, 453 (1991).
- [7] P.-M. Ho and Y. Matsuo, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2016**, 06A104 (2016).
- [8] E. J. Heller, E. B. Stechel, and M. J. Davis, J. Chem. Phys. **73**, 4720 (1980).
- [9] A. Horikoshi and Y. Kawamura, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2013**, 073A01 (2013).
- [10] A. Horikoshi, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2019**, 123A02 (2019).
- [11] C. E. Caligan and C. Chandre, Chaos **26**, 053101 (2016).
- [12] O. V. Prezhdo and Yu. V. Pereverzev, J. Chem. Phys. **113**, 6557 (2000).
- [13] N. Sato and Z. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. E **97**, 022145 (2018).
- [14] N. Sato, J. Math. Phys. **61**, 103304 (2020).