

Observation of isomeric state at $I^\pi = (3^-)$ in ^{118}I

Mamta Prajapati,^{1,*} Nidhi Goel,¹ Aalakh Kumar,¹ Somnath Nag,¹ R. Palit,² Vishal Malik,² P. Dey,² Biswajit Das,² A. Kundu,² A. Sindhu,² Gaurchand Manna,³ S. Rajbanshi,³ A. K. Singh,⁴ H. Pai,⁵ S. Bhattacharya,⁶ A. Mukherjee,⁷ T. Trivedi,⁸ Deepika Choudhury,⁹ Sahab Singh,⁹ Abraham T Vazhappilly,² S. Jadhav,² B. S. Naidu,² and Raghava Varma^{1,10}

¹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi 221005, India

²Department of Nuclear and Atomic Physics,

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400005, India

³Department of Physics, Presidency University, Kolkata 700073, India

⁴Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, West Bengal 721302, India

⁵Gamma Driven Experiments Department, ELI-NP, Magurele 077125, Romania

⁶Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem-91904, Israel

⁷Center for Exotic Nuclear Studies, Institute for Basic Science, Daejeon-34126, Republic of Korea

⁸Department of Physics, University of Allahabad, Prayagraj-211002

⁹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Ropar, Punjab 140001, India

¹⁰Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Mumbai 400076, India

I. INTRODUCTION

Iodine nuclei ($Z = 53$) lie in a transitional region between the vibrator of Te ($Z = 52$) and the deformed rotator of Xe ($Z = 54$). For light mass iodine isotopes, the proton Fermi surface lies in the lower part of the $h_{11/2}$ orbital while the neutron Fermi surface lies in the $h_{11/2}$ midshell. A strong driving force exert on the γ -soft core by these high- j valence particles: protons in the lower part of the $h_{11/2}$ subshell favor a collectively rotating prolate shape ($\gamma \geq 0^\circ$) while neutrons in the middle part of the $h_{11/2}$ subshell favor a collectively rotating triaxial shape ($\gamma \approx 30^\circ$). The low lying states of iodine isotopes ($^{116,118,120}\text{I}$) are well studied in the Ref. [1–3]. An excited state isomeric state based on the coupling of the proton $g_{9/2}$ orbital to the neutron $h_{11/2}$ orbital with $I^\pi = 7^-$ had also been identified in these isotopes. Several low-lying excited states with small γ -ray energies between the positive parity band based $\pi h_{11/2}\nu h_{11/2}$ configuration and ground states band are reported in these nuclei. Isomeric states at low energy level were observed

in the ^{120}I nuclei. In the present work, the half-life time of the (3^-) state at level energy 57 keV was measured with the electronic timing of high-purity germanium (HPGe) detectors.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The excited states of ^{118}I were populated through $^{109}\text{Ag}(^{13}\text{C}, 4n\gamma)^{118}\text{I}$ reaction at a beam energy of 54 MeV. The ^{13}C beam, provided by the 14UD pelletron accelerator (TIFR) was incident on a ^{109}Ag target of thickness 1.05 mg/cm² backed with 10 mg/cm² of Au. The emitted γ rays were detected in the Indian National Gamma Array (INGA), which consisted of 18 Compton-suppressed clover HPGe detectors. A total of $1.66 \times 10^9 \gamma\gamma$ coincidence events were collected into a two-dimensional matrix format by using RADWARE software [4]. After the energy calibration of all the HPGe detectors, the time-stamped coincidence data were sorted using the Multi pARameter timestamped based COincidence Search (MARCOS) code, developed at TIFR, Mumbai [5].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The γ -ray energy spectrum gate on 392 keV transition shown in Fig. 1 depicts the transitions feeding and decaying from (3^-) state of ^{118}I . The half-life of the isomeric state is ex-

*Electronic address: mamtaprajapati.rs.phy19@itbhu.ac.in

tracted by using the time difference spectrum between two transitions $E_\gamma = 129.6$ and 57.4 keV respectively.




FIG. 1: $\gamma - \gamma$ coincidence spectrum is obtained using gate on 392-keV (^{118}I) transition. The gamma transitions shown in red are decay and feeding transitions at level (3^-). The gamma transitions shown in the black colour are observed at the low energy level of ^{118}I [2].

The time-stamped data were used to create four conditional time spectra: $T_{p1,p2}$, $T_{p1,bg2}$, $T_{bg1,p2}$, and $T_{bg1,bg2}$. Here $T_{p1,p2}$ represents the time difference spectrum obtained with energy gate around the $E_{\gamma 1}$ and $E_{\gamma 2}$ peaks, while $T_{p1,bg2}$ represents the same for energy gate around the $E_{\gamma 1}$ peak and background near $E_{\gamma 2}$. Similarly, it is self-explanatory for $T_{bg1,p2}$, and $T_{bg1,bg2}$. The half-lives of the states were extracted by fitting the time-difference spectra with a convoluted Gaussian and an exponential function considering the detector response function. A detailed description of this method is given in ref. [6]. The half-life of the (3^-) state have been found to be $T_{1/2} = 56.5(20)$ ns. The reduced transition probability was extracted using the formula in Ref. [7]. The measured value of $B(M1)$ is $8.7 \times 10^{-4} \mu_N^2$, which is suppressed by 100 times compared to the normal $B(M1)$ rate. The value of reduced transition probability calculated by using the Weisskopf estimate is $3.3 \times 10^{-4} \mu_N^2$. The observed value is close to the Weisskopf estimates, which shows the single particle excitation at this isomeric level. Further, this isomeric state will be discussed in the

framework of a relevant model during the conference.




FIG. 2: Time difference spectrum is generated using the 129.6- and 57.4-keV transitions feeding and decaying from the (3^-) isomeric level, respectively.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge all the members of the TIFR-INGA collaboration for their support. M. Prajapati acknowledges the fellowship support from the Department of Science and Technology (No.:DST/INSPIRE fellowship/2019/IF190275). S. Nag acknowledges the financial support from the SERB-DST India under CRG (File No.: CRG/2021/006671).

References

- [1] C.-B. Moon *et al.*, Nuclear Physics A **730**(2004) 3–22.
- [2] C.-B. Moon *et al.*, Nuclear Physics A **728** (2003) 350–364. Vol. 30, No. 5 (2021) 2150030.
- [3] H. Kaur *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **55**, 512 (1997).
- [4] D. C. Radford, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A **361**, 297 (1995).
- [5] R. Palit *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A **680**, 90 (2012).
- [6] Md. S. R. Laskar *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **104**, L011301 (2021).
- [7] F.G. Kondev *et al.*, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tablel 103-104 (2015) 50-105.