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#### Abstract

Hough has proposed an interesting and computationally efficient procedure for detecting lines in pictures. In this paper we point out that the use of angle-radius rather than slope-intercept parameters simplifies the computation further. We also show how the method can be used for more general curve fitting, and give alternative interpretations that explain the source of its efficiency.
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## Figure Captions

| Fig. 1 | The normal parameters for a line. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fig. 2 | Projection of colinear points onto a line. |
| Fig. 3 | An illustrative example. |

## I . INTRODUCTION

A recurring problem in computer picture processing is the detection of straight lines in digitized images. In the simplest case, the picture contains a number of discrete, black figure points lying on a white background. The problem is to detect the presence of groups of colinear or almost colinear figure points. It is clear that the problem can be solved to any desired degree of accuracy by testing the lines formed by all pairs of points. However, the computation required for $n$ points is approximately proportional to $n^{2}$, and may be prohibitive for large $n$.

Rosenfeld [1] has described an ingenious method due to Hough [2] for replacing the original problem of finding colinear points by a mathematically equivalent problem of finding concurrent lines. This method involves transforming each of the figure points into a straight line in a parameter space. The parameter space is defined by the parametric representation used to describe lines in the picture plane. Hough chose to use the familiar slope-intercept parameters, and thus his parameter space was the two-dimensional slope-intercept plane. Unfortunately, both the slope and the intercept are unbounded, which complicates the application of the technique. In this note we suggest an alternative parametrization that eliminates this problem. We also give two alternative interpretations of Hough's method, one of which reveals plainly the source of its efficiency. Finally, we show how the method can be extended to find more general classes of curves in pictures.
II. FUNDAMENTALS

The set of all straight lines in the picture plane constitutes a two-parameter family. If we fix a parametrization for the family, then an arbitrary straight line can be represented by a single point in the parameter space. For reasons that become obvious, we prefer the so-called normal parametrization. As illustrated in Fig. 1, this parametrization specifies a straight line by the angle $\theta$ of its normal and its algebraic distance $\rho$ from the origin. The equation of a line corresponding to this geometry is

$$
x \cos \theta+y \sin \theta=\rho
$$

If we restrict $\theta$ to the interval $[0, \pi)$, then the normal parameters for a line are unique. With this restriction, every line in the $x-y$ plane corresponds to a unique point in the $\theta-\rho$ plane.

Suppose, now, that we have some $\operatorname{set}\left\{\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\}$ of $n$ figure points and we want to find a set of straight lines that fit them. We transform the points $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ into the sinusoidal curves in the $\theta-\rho$ plane defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=x_{i} \cos \theta+y_{i} \sin \theta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that the curves corresponding to colinear figure points have a common point of intersection. This point in the $\theta-p$ plane, say $\left(\theta_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)$, defines the line passing through the colinear points. Thus, the problem of detecting colinear points can be converted to the problem of finding concurrent curves.


FIGURE 1 THE NORMAL PARAMETERS FOR A LINE

A dual property of the point-to-curve transformation can also be established. Suppose we have a $\operatorname{set}\left\{\left(\theta_{1}, \rho_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\theta_{k}, \rho_{k}\right)\right\}$ of points in the $\theta-\rho$ plane, all lying on the curve

$$
\rho=x_{0} \cos \theta+y_{0} \sin \theta
$$

Then it is easy to show that all these points correspond to lines in the $x-y$ plane passing through the point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. We can summarize these interesting properties of the point-to-curve transformation as follows:

1. A point in the picture plane corresponds to a sinusoidal curve in the parameter plane.
2. A point in the parameter plane corresponds to a straight line in the picture plane.
3. Points lying on the same straight line in the picture plane correspond to curves through a common point in the parameter plane.
4. Points lying on the same curve in the parameter plane correspond to lines through the same point in the picture plane.

In the next section we apply these results to the problem of detecting colinear points in the picture plane and show how significant computational economies can be realized in certain situations.
III. APPLICATION', ANJ ALTERNATIVE INTERPRETATIONS

Suppose that we map all of the points in the picture plane into their corresponding curves in the parameter plane. In general, these $n$ curves will intersect in $n(n-1) / 2$ points corresponding to the lines between all pairs
of figure points. Exactly colinear subsets of figure points can be found, at least in principle, by finding coincident points of intersection in the parameter plane. Unfortunately, this approach is essentially exhaustive, and the computation required grows quadratically with the number of picture points.

When it is not necessary to determine the lines exactly, the computational burden can be reduced considerably. Following Hough's basic proposal, we specify the acceptable error in $\theta$ and $\rho$ and quantize the $\theta-\rho$ plane into a quadruled grid. This quantization can be confined to the region $0 \leq \theta<\pi,-R \leq \rho \leq R$, where $R$ is the size of the retina, since points outside this rectangle correspond to lines in the picture plane that do not cross the retina. The quantized region is treated as a two-dimensional array of accumulators. For each point $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ in the picture plane, the corresponding curve given by (1) is entered in the array by incrementing the count in each cell along the curve. Thus, a given cell in the twodimensional accumulator eventually records the total number of curves passing through it. After all figure points have been treated, the array is inspected to find cells having high counts. If the count in a given cell ( $\theta_{i}, \rho_{j}$ ) is $k$, then precisely $k$ figure points lie (to within quantization error) along the line whose normal parameters are $\left(\theta_{i}, \rho_{j}\right)$.

An alternative interpretation of the point-curve transformation
can be obtained by recognizing that the $\rho$ computed by (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=x_{i} \cos \theta+y_{i} \sin \theta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

locates the projection of the point $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ onto a line through the origin with slope angle $\theta$. Thus, if a number of figure points lie close to some line $\ell$, their projections onto the line normal to $\ell$ are nearly coincident (see Fig: 2) . A given column in the $\theta^{-\rho}$ accumulator array is just a histogram for these projections, so a high count in a given cell clearly corresponds to a nearly colinear subset of figure points. A variation of this approach was used by Griffith[3] to find long lines in a picture.

Let us investigate how the computation required by the accumulator implementation varies with the number of figure points. To be more specific about the quantization, suppose that we restrict our attention to $d_{1}$ values of $\theta$ uniformly spaced in the interval $[0, \pi)$. Suppose further that the $\rho$ axis in the interval $[-R, R]$ is quantized into $d_{2}$ cells. For each figure point $\left(X_{i}, y_{i}\right)$, we use (1) to compute the $d_{1}$ different values of $\rho$ corresponding to the $d_{1}$ possible values of the independent variable $\theta$. Since there are $n$ figure points, we need to carry out this computation $\mathrm{nd}_{1}$ times. When these computations are complete, the $\mathrm{d}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{2}$ cells of the two-dimensional accumulator are inspected to find high counts. Thus, the computation required grows linearly with the number of figure points. Clearly, when $n$ is large compared to $d_{1}$, this approach is preferable to an exhaustive procedure that requires considering the lines between all $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1) / 2$ pairs of figure points.


FIGURE 2 PROJECTION OF COLINEAR POINTS ONTO A LINE

Another alternative interpretation exposes the source of this efficiency. Consider again Property 4 of the last section: Points lying on the same curve in the $\theta-\rho$ plane correspond to lines through the same point in the picture plane. When the curve corresponding to figure point ( $x_{i}, y_{i}$ ) is "added" to the accumulator, we are really computing and recording the parameters of the $d_{1}$ lines in the picture plane passing through ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) and, because $\theta$ is quantized, these are "all the lines in the plane" passing through ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) . Should a given parameter pair ever recur as a result of computing the $d_{1}$ lines through some other figure point, the recurrence will be reflected in an increased count in the appropriate accumulator cell. Roughly speaking, then, for each figure point the quantized transform method considers only the set of all $d_{1}$ lines through that point, whereas more exhaustive methods consider all ( $n-1$ ) lines between the given point and all other figure points.

## IV. EXAMPLE

The following example illustrates some of the features of the transform approach. Fig. 3(a) shows a television monitor view of a box, and Fig. 3(b) shows a digitized version of that view. A simple differencing operation locates significant intensity changes and produces the binary picture shown in Fig. 3(c). This 120-by-120 picture contains many nearly colinear figure points that can be fit well by a few straight lines. Sampling $\theta$ at $d_{1}=920^{\circ}$-increments in $\theta$ and, quantizing $\rho$ into $d_{2}=86$ two-element cells, we obtain the two-dimensional accumulator array shown in Table I. If the array entry at $\left(\theta_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)$ is $k_{0}$, then $k_{0}$ figure
points lie on parallel lines for which $\theta=\theta_{0}$ and $\rho$ lies between $\rho_{0}$ and $\rho_{0}+2$. When many points are nearly colinear, the entry for the line that fits them best is large. The largest entry in the table occurs at ( $0^{\circ},-5$ ) and corresponds to the middle vertical edge of the box. The nine circled entries in Table $I$ correspond to locally maximum values that exceed the arbitrary threshold of 35. The corresponding nine groups of nearly colinear figure points are shown in Fig. 3(d). In this example, it happens that every group corresponds to some physically meaningful line in the picture. However, two significant lines on the top of the box were not found, one because it contained very few points and the other because it fell between the lines at $\theta=80^{\circ}$ and $\theta=100^{\circ}$. The $20^{\circ}$ angular quantization interval was chosen to keep the accumulator array small. Clearly, we were fortunate to have found as many lines as we did, and a smaller quantization interval mould have to be used in practior

A few remarks concerning some limitations of the transform approach are in order. First, the results are sensitive to the quantization of both $\theta$ and $\rho$. Finer quantization gives better resolution, but increases the computation time and exposes the problem of clustering entries corresponding to nearly colinear points. Second, the technique finds colinear points without regard to contiguity. Thus, the position of a best-fit line can be distorted by the presence of unrelated figure points in another part of the picture. A related problem is that of meaningless groups of colinear points being detected. In our example, a false line would be detected if the threshold were reduced from 35 to 24 , the value needed to detect the top left-hand edge of the box.

| $P^{\theta}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $20^{\circ}$ | $40^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $80^{\circ}$ | $100^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ | $140^{\circ}$ | $160^{\circ}$ | $\rho$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $20^{\circ}$ | $40^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $80^{\circ}$ | $100^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ | $140^{\circ}$ | 160 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | -85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | -83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  | -81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 79 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 6 | 5 |  | -79 |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 8 | 4 | 2 | -77 |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | 2 | -75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 3 | -73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | -71 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 |  |  | 1 | 4 |  | 12 | 4 | 3 | 5 | -69 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 |  |  | 3 |  | 2 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 4 | -67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 11 | 1 | 2 | 4 | -65 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 |  | 2 | 4 | -63 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 9 | -61 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 11 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 12 | -59 | 7 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 57 | 4 | 3 |  | 3 | 10 | 12 | 3 | 10 | 15 | -57 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |
| 55 | 9 | 5 |  | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 12 | -55 | 0 | 10 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 4 |
| 53 | 6 | 6 |  | 4 | 10 |  | 11 | 9 | 14 | -53 | 16 | 13 | 12 |  | 18 | 4 | 1 |  | 6 |
| 51 | 4 | 9 |  | 4 | 20 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 8 | -51 | (40) | 15 | 11 |  | 15 | 16 |  |  | 6 |
| 49 | 5 | 6 |  | 2 | 10 | 3 | 11 | 13 | 8 | -49 | 32 | 18 | 11 | (57) | 15 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 47 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | 2 | 13 | 10 | 10 | -47 | 10 | 16 | 11 | 22 | 14 | 16 | 21 | 9 | 5 |
| 45 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 3 |  |  | 11 | 6 | 8 | -45 | 7 | 17 | 11 | 11 | 16 | 18 | (41) | 21 | 6 |
| 43 | 4 | 18 | 21 | 5 |  | 1 | 12 | 10 | 8 | -43 | 8 | 12 | 14 | 10 | 13 | 17 | 12 | 17 | 6 |
| 41 | 9 | 17 | 21 | 15 |  | 25 | 18 | 7 | 8 | -41 | 6 | 7 | 14 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 7 | 19 | 12 |
| 39 | 8 | 20 | 21 | 13 |  | 22 | 11 | 11 | 7 | -39 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 11 | 20 | 23 |
| 37 | 12 | 17 | 22 | 17 |  | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | -37 | 7 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 17 | 9 | 12 | 18 | 24 |
| 35 | (38) | 14 | 17 | 17 | 38 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 6 | -35 | 8 | 9 | 17 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 23 | 23 |
| 33 | 37 | 16 | 22 | 21 | (42) | 10 | 5 | 9 | 9 | -33 | 6 | 12 | 15 | 8 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 22 | 26 |
| 31 | 35 | 11 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 10 | -31 | 5 | 9 | 19 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 16 | 18 | 15 |
| 29 | 13 | 18 | 18 | 23 | 20 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 9 | -29 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 18 | 18 | 15 |
| 27 | 7 | 16 | 12 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 7 | 9 | 6 | -27 | 7 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 18 | 19 | 19 |
| 25 | 7 | 18 | 12 | 32 | 19 | 27 | 8 | 7 | 8 | -25 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 22 | 9 | 14 |
| 23 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 20 | 17 | (52) | 11 | 6 | 7 | -23 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 11 | 19 | 12 | 9 |
| 21 | 7 | 17 | 12 | 23 | 8 | 11 | 15 | 11 | 10 | -21 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 16 | 10 | 11 |
| 19 | 9 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 14 | 6 | 7 | -19 | 6 | 13 | 8 | 16 | 9 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 10 |
| 17 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 6 | 9 | 16 | 12 | 7 | -17 | 7 | 17 | 9 | 15 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 14 | 13 |
| 15 | 8 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 14 | 10 | -15 | 6 | 15 | 10 | 17 | 8 | 13 | 10 | 14 | 9 |
| 13 | 10 | 9 | 15 | 11 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 13 | 6 | -13 | 10 | 15 | 9 | 15 | 9 | 17 | 11 | 13 | 12 |
| 11 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 14 | (40) | 10 | 16 | 13 | 13 | -11 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 17 | 9 | 11 | 15 |
| 9 | 10 | 10 | 16 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 14 | 21 | 22 | -9 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 23 | 8 | 12 | 15 |
| 7 | 10 | 8 | 22 | 12 | (41) | 6 | 7 | 12 | 21 | -7 | 9 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 21 | 7 | 13 | 12 |
| 5 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 11 | 23 | 6 | 11 | 14 | 14 | -5 | (77) | 13 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 14. | 10 | 12 | 15 |
| 3 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 15 | -3 | 26 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 18 |
| 1 | 10 | 14 | 17 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 | -1 | 110 | 13 | 18 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 15 |

## Index Terms

Picture processing, pattern recognition, line detection.

An important special use of the transform method is to detect the occurrence of figure points lying on a straight line and possessing some specified property. For example, suppose we want to find whether a significant number of figure points lie on a line through the point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ in the picture plane. As we have seen from Property 4 , the normal coordinates of any such line must lie on (or, in practice, at least near) the curve $\rho=x_{0} \cos \theta+y_{0} \sin \theta$. Hence, the transform process can be carried out in the usual way, but attention can be restricted to the region of the $\theta-\rho$ plane near this curve. If we find a cell with count $k$ near this curve, then we are assured that $k$ figure points lie on a line passing (nearly) through the point $\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}\right)$. Similarly, suppose we are interested only in lines having a given direction, say $\theta_{0}$. Again, we carry out the process in the usual way, but restrict our attention to a subset of the $\theta-\rho$ plane in the vicinity of $\theta=\theta_{0}$.

It is clear that the general transform approach can be extended to curves other than straight lines. For example, suppose we want a method to detect circular configurations of figure points. We can choose a parametric representation for the family of all circles (within a retina) and transform each figure point in the obvious way. If, as a parametric representation, we describe a circle in the picture plane by

$$
(x-a)^{2}+(y-b)^{2}=c^{2}
$$

then an arbitrary figure point $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ will be transformed into a surface in the $a-b-c$ parameter space defined by

$$
\left(x_{i}-a\right)^{2}+\left(y_{i}-b\right)^{2}=c^{2}
$$

In this example, then, each figure point will be transformed into a right circular cone in a three-dimensional parameter space. If the cones corresponding to many figure points intersect at a single point, say the point $\left(a_{0}, b_{0}, c_{0}\right)$, then all the figure points lie on the circle defined by those three parameters. As in the preceding case of straight lines, no saving is effected if the entire process is performed analytically. However, the process can be implemented efficiently by using a three-dimensional array of accumulators representing the three-dimensional parameter space. In principle, then, the transform method extends to arbitrary curves. We need only pick a convenient parametrization for the family of curves of interest and then proceed in the obvious way. A parametrization having bounded parameters is obviously preferable, although this is not essential. It is much more important to have a small number of parameters, since the accumulator implementation requires quantization of the entire parameter space, and the computation grows exponentially with the number of parameters.
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