

First demonstration for a LArTPC-based search for intranuclear neutron-antineutron transitions and annihilation in ${}^{40}\text{Ar}$ using the MicroBooNE detector




Roxanne Guenette & Daisy Kalra, on behalf of the MicroBooNE Collaboration



Neutron Antineutron Transitions ($n \rightarrow \bar{n}$)

- ❖ A baryon number violating process \rightarrow necessary to constrain theories of baryogenesis.
- ❖ Intranuclear $n \rightarrow \bar{n}$ (within a nucleus) is suppressed due to nuclear potential.
- ❖ Transition of $n \rightarrow \bar{n}$ is followed by n annihilation with nearby nucleon leading to final state particles (mostly pions) giving rise to semi-spherical topology.




A schematic showing $n \rightarrow \bar{n}$ transition followed by annihilation

$$\text{Bound neutron suppression lifetime factor} \quad \tau_m = R \tau_{n-\bar{n}}^2.$$

- ### Experimental Searches
- ❖ This process is not discovered yet.
 - ❖ Current best limits-
 - SuperK[1]- ${}^{16}\text{O}$ -bound neutron transition time 3.6×10^{32} years with 90% CL.
 - ❖ **First-ever $n \rightarrow \bar{n}$ search demonstration within ${}^{40}\text{Ar}$ nucleus using MicroBooNE detector[3] \rightarrow Important proof-of-principle for DUNE.**


MicroBooNE Detector

- ❖ MicroBooNE used 85 tonne active mass liquid argon time projection chamber (LArTPC) detector.
- ❖ Detection mechanism-
 - Ionization charge by three anode wire planes \rightarrow mm level spacial resolution.
 - Scintillation light by photomultiplier tubes \rightarrow ns level timing resolution.
- ❖ Data collection from 2015-2021-
 - Neutrino beam data
 - Off-beam data (no neutrino interactions) \rightarrow used in this analysis




A schematic showing the MicroBooNE LArTPC

$n \rightarrow n$ Search Strategy in the MicroBooNE Detector



- ❖ Each interaction (2.3 ms of readout) consists of multiple reconstructed clusters
- Cluster is a 3D spacepoint carrying information on wire position, time and ionization charge.




- ❖ **Background:** Real off-beam data is used as background.
 - This data is used to evaluate background interaction rate.
 - Predominantly cosmic ray muons and their induced electromagnetic and hadronic showers.
- ❖ **Signal:** Signal interactions are simulated using GENIE event generator.
 - MicroBooNE's default nuclear model configuration hA-LFG.
 - Signal interactions are subsequently processed through GEANT4 and detector geometry.



- ❖ **Overlay:** Signal interactions are overlaid on real cosmic background to generate overlay samples.
 - Used to evaluate signal selection efficiency.
 - Assumed negligible signal in the off-beam data (consistent with the SuperK's best limit).

Topological-driven Selection




Signal topology

Cosmic ray background topology


- ❖ Topological features are used to train machine learning and deep learning algorithms-
 - Localized and semi-spherical star-like topology for signal.
 - Extended track-like topology for typical cosmic ray background.

Image-based Selection



- ❖ Topological features are quantified using an extent in space and time, and number of spacepoints in a cluster.



- ❖ **Boosted Decision Tree (BDT)** is trained using above five topological variables to reject cosmic ray background.
- ❖ Remaining clusters are used to train a **sparse Convolution Neural Network (sCNN)[4]**.
 - Trained using a million of events




An example of sparsified input image



A sCNN using VGG16 network architecture

Network is optimized by minimizing training loss and maximizing validation accuracy.



The expected background corresponding to 372 s of exposure (used for reporting results) is 3.20 ± 1.79 (stat.) ± 0.57 (syst.)




Image-based selection is followed by topological extent cuts to further reject the background.

Cut-flow Table

Using 3720s of exposure	Signal	Background
No selection	1,633,525	1,618,827
Image-based selection	1,202,281	142
Topological cuts	1,147,57	32
Signal selection efficiency	70.2%	
Background efficiency		0.0020%


Uncertainties on Signal and Background

Systematic uncertainties on signal selection efficiency	
GENIE (diff. Nuclear models)	4.85%
Detector (charge & light response)	6.72%
GEANT4 (hadron-Ar reinteractions)	2.32%
Total systematic uncertainty on signal	8.61%

Background uncertainty comes from statistical uncertainty on number of final selected background interactions in 3720 s of data $\rightarrow 17.68\%$.

Results

- ❖ Using 372 s of exposure, a demonstrative lower limit is reported which is lower than the current best limits due to low exposure, small-sized detector and high background but **substantial improvement in signal selection efficiency**.



	Exposure	Signal selection efficiency	Observed interactions (events)	Expected background	90% CL limit (years)
MicroBooNE ${}^{40}\text{Ar}$	372s	70.2%	2	3.2	1.1×10^{26}
SuperK ${}^{16}\text{O}$	6050 days	4.1%	11	9.3	3.6×10^{32}

- ❖ Demonstrates LArTPC's capability (using real data) in searching for this process in next-generation large sized detector with high signal selection efficiency and improved sensitivity \rightarrow Proof-of-principle for DUNE

References

1. Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 012008.
2. Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 (1984) 720.
3. arXiv:2308.03924 [hep-ex].
4. Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 05212, arXiv:1711.10275, arXiv:1706.01307.