

SATURATION AND HADRONIC CROSS-SECTIONS
AT VERY HIGH ENERGIES*

F. CARVALHO, F.O. DURÃES, F.S. NAVARRA

Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo
C.P. 66318, 05315-97, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

V.P. GONÇALVES

Instituto de Física e Matemática, Universidade Federal de Pelotas
Caixa Postal 354, CEP 96010-900, Pelotas, RS, Brazil*(Received May 12, 2008)*

We propose a simple model for the total $pp/p\bar{p}$ cross-section, which is a generalization of the minijet model with the inclusion of a window in the p_T -spectrum associated to the saturation physics. Our model implies a natural cutoff for the perturbative calculations which modifies the energy behavior of this component, so that it satisfies the Froissart bound. Including the saturated component, we obtain a satisfactory description of the very high energy experimental data.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Lg, 13.60.Hb, 13.85.-t, 13.85.Dz

Long ago a QCD based explanation for the growth of the hadronic cross-sections was proposed by Gaisser and Halzen [1]. In their approach, called minijet model, the total cross-section can be decomposed as $\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \sigma_0 + \sigma_{\text{pQCD}}$ where σ_0 characterizes the nonperturbative contribution and σ_{pQCD} is calculable in perturbative QCD. Unfortunately, this approach implies a power-like energy behavior for the total cross-section, violating the Froissart bound. Several attempts were made to reduce this too fast growth [2].

At high energies the small- x gluons in a hadron wavefunction should form a Color Glass Condensate (CGC) [3]. This new state of matter is characterized by gluon saturation and by a typical momentum scale, the saturation scale Q_s , which determines the critical line separating the linear and saturation regimes of the QCD dynamics.

* Presented at the School on QCD, Low- x Physics, Saturation and Diffraction, Copanello, Calabria, Italy, July 1–14, 2007.

Some attempts to reconcile the QCD parton picture with the Froissart limit using saturation physics were proposed in recent years [4]. Here we generalize the minijet model assuming the existence of a saturation window between the nonperturbative and perturbative regimes of QCD, which grows when the energy increases, since Q_s grows with the energy. The cross-section is then written as:

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \sigma_0 + \sigma_{\text{sat}} + \sigma_{\text{pQCD}}, \quad (1)$$

where the saturated component, σ_{sat} , contains the dynamics of the interactions at scales lower than the saturation scale. In our approach *the saturation scale is a cutoff at low transverse momenta of the perturbative cross-section*, σ_{pQCD} , which is given by:

$$\sigma_{\text{pQCD}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_s^2} dp_T^2 \sum_{i,j} \int dx_1 dx_2 f_i(x_1, p_T^2) f_j(x_2, p_T^2) \hat{\sigma}_{ij}, \quad (2)$$

where $f_i(x, Q^2)$ is the parton density of the species i , with fractional momentum x_1 (or x_2) in the proton and $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ is the elementary parton-parton cross-section. We have used the MRST parton distributions [5]. The saturation scale is given by $Q_s^2(x) = Q_0^2(x_0/x)^\lambda$, where the parameters $Q_0^2 = 0.3 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $x_0 = 0.3 \times 10^{-4}$ were fixed by fitting the ep HERA data. Following [6] we take $x = q_0^2/s$ and $q_0 = 1.4 \text{ GeV}$. Therefore we have

$$Q_s^2(s) \propto s^\lambda.$$

In Fig. 1 we show in arbitrary units the energy behavior of the ratio $\sigma_{\text{pQCD}}/\ln^2 s$ (solid lines) and $\sigma_{\text{sat}}/\ln^2 s$ (dashed lines) for two choices of λ . As it can be seen the choice $\lambda = 0.25$ leads to a fast growth of σ_{pQCD} until $\sqrt{s} = 10^4 \text{ GeV}$. From this point on, it grows slower than $\ln^2 s$. A slight increase in λ ($= 0.3$) is enough to tame the growth of σ_{pQCD} already at $\sqrt{s} \simeq 10^3 \text{ GeV}$. On the other hand, a decrease in λ ($= 0.1$) would postpone the fall of the ratio to very high energies $\sqrt{s} \simeq 10^6 \text{ GeV}$. Although the energy at which the behavior of the cross-section becomes “sub-Froissart” may depend on λ , one conclusion seems very robust: *once λ is finite, at some energy the growth of the cross-section will become weaker than $\ln^2 s$.*

For the saturated component we shall use the model proposed in Ref. [6]:

$$\sigma_{\text{sat}} = \int d^2 r_\perp |\Psi_p(r_\perp)|^2 \sigma_{\text{dip}}(x, r_\perp), \quad (3)$$

where the proton wave function Ψ_p is chosen to be a gaussian with the typical size of the proton [7] and the dipole-proton cross-section reads:

$$\sigma_{\text{dip}}(r_\perp, x) = 2 \int d^2 b \mathcal{N}(x, r_\perp, b). \quad (4)$$




Fig. 1. Perturbative (solid lines) and saturated components (dashed lines) of the total cross-section (normalized by $\ln^2 s$).

We take the dipole scattering amplitude from [8] (we call it IIM) and, following [6], introduce the b dependence by witting:

$$\mathcal{N}(x, r_{\perp}, b) = 1 - e^{-\kappa S(b)/S(0)}, \quad (5)$$

where the parameter κ is related to the $b = 0$ solution through $\kappa = -\ln[1 - \mathcal{N}(b = 0)]$. In (5), the profile function is assumed to be $S(b) = e^{(-b^2/R_p^2)}$, where $R_p = 0.7$ fm is the proton radius.

In Fig. 2 we present our results for the total cross-section for different values of λ and compare them with experimental data. For references and details see [7]. σ_0 was assumed to be energy independent [9], important only at lower energies and therefore was not included in our calculations. There is only a small range of values of λ which allow us to describe the experimental data. If, for instance, $\lambda = 0.4$ the resulting cross-section is very flat and clearly below the data, while if $\lambda = 0.1$ (not shown in figure) the cross-section grows very rapidly deviating strongly from the experimental data. The best choice for λ is in the range 0.25–0.30, which is exactly the range predicted in theoretical estimates using CGC physics and usually obtained by the saturation models for the ep HERA data. In [7] we have replaced the IIM dipole cross-section by the more modern ones given in [10] but the results do not change very much.




Fig. 2. Energy behavior of the total $pp/p\bar{p}$ cross-section for different values of λ .

REFERENCES

- [1] T.K. Gaisser, F. Halzen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 1754 (1985).
- [2] L. Durand, H. Pi, *Phys. Rev.* **D40**, 1436 (1989); *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **12**, 379 (1990); R.M. Godbole, A. Grau, G. Pancheri, Y.N. Srivastava, *Phys. Rev.* **D72**, 076001 (2005).
- [3] E. Iancu, R. Venugopalan, [arXiv:hep-ph/0303204](#); J. Jalilian-Marian, Y.V. Kovchegov, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **56**, 104 (2006).
- [4] E. Ferreiro, E. Iancu, K. Itakura, L. McLerran, *Nucl. Phys.* **A710**, 373 (2002); A. Kovner, U.A. Wiedemann, *Phys. Lett.* **B551**, 311 (2003).
- [5] A.D. Martin, R.G. Roberts, W.J. Stirling, R.S. Thorne, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C23**, 73 (2002).
- [6] J. Bartels, E. Gotsman, E. Levin, M. Lublinsky, U. Maor, *Phys. Lett.* **B556**, 114 (2003).
- [7] F. Carvalho, F.O. Durães, V.P. Gonçalves, F.S. Navarra, [arXiv:0705.1842 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [8] E. Iancu, K. Itakura, S. Munier, *Phys. Lett.* **B590**, 199 (2004).
- [9] See, for example, H.G. Dosch, E. Ferreira, A. Kramer, *Phys. Rev.* **D50**, 1992 (1994); H.G. Dosch, F.S. Navarra, M. Nielsen, M. Rueter, *Phys. Lett.* **B466**, 363 (1999).
- [10] V.P. Gonçalves, M.S. Kugeratski, M.V.T. Machado, F.S. Navarra, *Phys. Lett.* **B643**, 273 (2006); M.S. Kugeratski, V.P. Gonçalves, F.S. Navarra, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C44**, 577 (2005).