

Article

On Optimal and Quantum Code Construction from Cyclic Codes over \mathfrak{F}_qPQ with Applications

Shakir Ali, Amal S. Alali, Pushpendra Sharma, Kok Bin Wong, Elif Segah Öztas and Mohammad Jeelani

Special Issue

Advances in Information and Coding Theory II

Edited by

Dr. Jun Chen and Dr. Sadaf Salehkalaibar

Article

On Optimal and Quantum Code Construction from Cyclic Codes over \mathfrak{F}_qPQ with Applications

Shakir Ali ¹, Amal S. Alali ², Pushpendra Sharma ^{1,*}, Kok Bin Wong ³, Elif Segah Öztaş ⁴ and Mohammad Jeelani ⁵

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India; shakir.ali.mm@amu.ac.in

² Department of Mathematical Sciences, College of Science, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, P. O. Box 84428, Riyadh 11671, Saudi Arabia; asalali@pnu.edu.sa

³ Institute of Mathematical Sciences, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia; kbwong@um.edu.my

⁴ Department of Mathematics, Kamil Ozdag Science Faculty, Karamanoglu Mehmetbey University, Karaman 70100, Turkey; esoztas@kmu.edu.tr

⁵ Department of Computer Application, Faculty of Science, Integral University, Lucknow 226026, India; jeelani.0018@gmail.com

* Correspondence: sharmapushpendra52@gmail.com

Abstract: The key objective of this paper is to study the cyclic codes over mixed alphabets on the structure of \mathfrak{F}_qPQ , where $P = \frac{\mathfrak{F}_q[v]}{\langle v^3 - \alpha_2^2 v \rangle}$ and $Q = \frac{\mathfrak{F}_q[u, v]}{\langle u^2 - \alpha_1^2, v^3 - \alpha_2^2 v \rangle}$ are nonchain finite rings and α_i is in $\mathfrak{F}_q/\{0\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, where $q = p^m$ with $m \geq 1$ is a positive integer and p is an odd prime. Moreover, with the applications, we obtain better and new quantum error-correcting (QEC) codes. For another application over the ring P , we obtain several optimal codes with the help of the Gray image of cyclic codes.

Keywords: cyclic code; dual code; mixed alphabet code; QEC code



Citation: Ali, S.; Alali, A.S.; Sharma, P.; Wong, K.B.; Öztaş, E.S.; Jeelani, M. On Optimal and Quantum Code Construction from Cyclic Codes over \mathfrak{F}_qPQ with Applications. *Entropy* **2023**, *25*, 1161. <https://doi.org/10.3390/e25081161>

Academic Editors: Jun Chen and Sadaf Salehkalaibar

Received: 2 July 2023

Revised: 30 July 2023

Accepted: 31 July 2023

Published: 2 August 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The most significant families of cyclic codes were first introduced and studied by Prange [1] and Sloane-Thompson [2]. These codes are extensively used because of their robust algebraic structure and simplicity of usage. In recent years, there has been a rapid expansion of research on cyclic codes over finite rings, following the notable work of Hammons et al. [3]. The literature extensively delves into the exploration of cyclic codes and their constructions across various finite rings, such as applications in constructing minimal codes in [4] and projective two-weight codes in [5]. Recently, Pereira and Mancini have given a general method to construct EAQEC codes from cyclic codes in [6]. A particular area of interest in recent years has been the study of codes over mixed alphabets. This research direction was initiated by Brouwer et al. [7] in 1998, where they began investigating linear codes over mixed alphabets. Specifically, the authors focused on describing \mathbb{Z}_2 -submodules over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}\mathbb{Z}_{s^3}$ for mixed alphabet codes. Borges et al. [8] made significant contributions to this field by discovering $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive codes and their associated $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes. Notably, extensive studies have been conducted on additive codes, with significant research contributions in ([9–12]). Moreover, the additive codes, additive cyclic codes, and the additive quasi-cyclic codes over different mixed alphabets have also been intensely studied, for example, $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive codes [13], $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -additive codes [14], $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -cyclic and constacyclic [15], $\mathbb{Z}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2 + u\mathbb{Z}_2)$ -additive cyclic codes [16]. Borges et al. [8] explored double cyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Recently, Gao et al. have studied hulls of double cyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_2 , and

obtained some good quantum codes from hulls in [17]. Gao et al. [18] generalized double cyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 . The triple cyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_2 were introduced by Mostafanasab [19] and extended this double cyclic code structure. Recently, $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic codes and $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4\mathbb{Z}_8$ -cyclic codes were separately explored by Wu et al. [20], and Aydogdu-Gursoy [21], respectively. Moreover, the P and Q used in this paper are finite nonchain rings actually. As we know, there are many papers on quantum codes over finite nonchain rings [22–24]. Researchers primarily concentrated on investigating the structural properties of mixed alphabet codes in all of the works, including generator matrices, parity check matrices, generator polynomials, minimal generating sets, generator polynomials for dual codes, etc. In 2020, Dinh et al. [25] delivered quantum and LCD code construction over mixed alphabets. In 2022, Ashraf et al. [26] obtained quantum codes over mixed alphabets.

Motivated by the above study, in this paper, we describe cyclic codes, new quantum error-correcting (QEC) codes and several optimal codes over mixed alphabets. Firstly, we provide linear and cyclic codes over \mathfrak{F}_qPQ , where \mathfrak{F}_q are the finite fields with q elements, $P = \mathfrak{F}_q[v]/\langle v^3 - \alpha_2^2 v \rangle$ and $Q = \mathfrak{F}_q[u, v]/\langle u^2 - \alpha_1^2, v^3 - \alpha_2^2 v \rangle$, where α_1 and α_2 are the nonzero elements of \mathfrak{F}_q . Section 2 presents some basic definitions, the construction of cyclic codes over \mathfrak{F}_qPQ , and some important structural properties over \mathfrak{F}_qPQ . Section 3 describes Gray images and linear codes over P and Q . Further, we define a Gray map with the help of a matrix. In Section 4, like Section 3, we define the Gray map and linear codes over \mathfrak{F}_qPQ . Section 5 discusses the structural properties of cyclic codes over P , Q , \mathfrak{F}_qPQ and describes quantum error-correcting (QEC) codes and their construction over \mathfrak{F}_qPQ . Finally, in Section 6, we discuss some applications of cyclic codes over mixed alphabets and provide the conclusion of our results.

2. Preliminaries

Let m be a positive integer, p be an odd prime, and q be an odd prime power such that $q = p^m$. Next, let \mathfrak{F}_q be a finite field with q elements having characteristic p . Our construction depends on \mathfrak{F}_qPQ , where P and Q are the commutative, nonchain, semi-local ring. We begin with some key remarks and basic definitions as follows:

Remark 1. Let R be a local ring. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i). R has a unique maximal left ideal.
- (ii). R has a unique maximal right ideal.
- (iii). The sum of any two nonunit elements of R is also a nonunit as well as $0 \neq 1$.
- (iv). If x is an arbitrary element of R , then x or $1 - x$ is unit as well as $0 \neq 1$.

Remark 2. In the case of a commutative ring, R contains a unique maximal ideal if and only if it is local.

Definition 1. Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^n$, the Hamming distance between two vectors $\mathbf{x} = x_1 \dots x_n$ and $\mathbf{y} = y_1 \dots y_n$ be defined to be the number of places at which they differ and be denoted by $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$.

Definition 2. The Hamming weight of a vector $\mathbf{x} = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ is defined to be the number of nonzero coordinates x_i in \mathbf{x} and is denoted by $w_H(\mathbf{x})$.

Definition 3. Each element of code \mathfrak{E} is referred to as a codeword, and a code of length n over R is said to be linear if it is an R -submodule of R^n .

Definition 4. A code \mathfrak{E} is said to be self-orthogonal if $\mathfrak{E} \subseteq \mathfrak{E}^\perp$, self-dual if $\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{E}^\perp$, and dual containing if $\mathfrak{E}^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{E}$.

Definition 5 ([27]). A code \mathfrak{E} is a cyclic code of length n over R if it is linear and every cyclic shift of each codeword is also in \mathfrak{E} , i.e., $\sigma(c) = (\mathfrak{E}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{E}_0, \mathfrak{E}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{E}_{n-2}) \in \mathfrak{E}$, whenever $c = (\mathfrak{E}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{E}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{E}_{n-2}) \in \mathfrak{E}$. The operator σ is known as cyclic shift.

By using the properties of cyclic code over the finite commutative nonchain ring, we can define cyclic code over $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$. Clearly, the ring P can be expressed as $P = \mathfrak{F}_q + v\mathfrak{F}_q + v^2\mathfrak{F}_q$, where $v^3 = \alpha_2^2 v$, the set $\{1, v, v^2\}$ is a set of basis elements of P , and we denote the basis elements of P as follows: $\Delta_1 = 1, \Delta_2 = v, \Delta_3 = v^2$, and every element of ring P is of the form $p_r = a_1 + va_2 + v^2a_3$, for $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathfrak{F}_q$. Orthogonal idempotents of this ring is given as follows:

$$E_1 = (\alpha_2^2 - v^2),$$

$$E_2 = \frac{(\alpha_2 v + \alpha_2^2 v^2)}{2},$$

and

$$E_3 = \frac{(-\alpha_2 v + \alpha_2^2 v^2)}{2}.$$

It is straightforward to see that $E_i^2 = E_i$, $E_i E_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and $E_1 + E_2 + E_3 = 1$ where $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. By using orthogonal idempotents E_1, E_2 and E_3 , we can write the arbitrary element p_r of the ring P as $p_r = E_1 p_{r_1} + E_2 p_{r_2} + E_3 p_{r_3}$, where $p_{r_1}, p_{r_2}, p_{r_3} \in \mathfrak{F}_q$. Similarly, the ring Q can be expressed as $Q = \mathfrak{F}_q + u\mathfrak{F}_q + v\mathfrak{F}_q + uv\mathfrak{F}_q + v^2\mathfrak{F}_q + uv^2\mathfrak{F}_q$, where $u^2 = \alpha_1^2$, $v^3 = \alpha_2^2 v$, the set $\{1, u, v, uv, v^2, uv^2\}$ is a set of basis elements of Q and we denote the basis elements of Q as follows $\delta_1 = 1, \delta_2 = u$ and $\delta_3 = v, \delta_4 = uv, \delta_5 = v^2, \delta_6 = uv^2$ and every element of ring Q is of the form $q_r = b_1 + ub_2 + vb_3 + uvb_4 + v^2b_5 + uv^2b_6$, for $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6 \in \mathfrak{F}_q$. Orthogonal idempotents of the ring Q are given as follows:

$$\zeta_1 = \frac{(\alpha_1 + u)(\alpha_2^2 - v^2)}{2\alpha_1\alpha_2^2},$$

$$\zeta_2 = \frac{(\alpha_1 - u)(\alpha_2^2 - v^2)}{2\alpha_1\alpha_2^2},$$

$$\zeta_3 = \frac{(\alpha_1 + u)(\alpha_2 v + v^2)}{4\alpha_1\alpha_2^2},$$

$$\zeta_4 = \frac{(\alpha_1 - u)(\alpha_2 v + v^2)}{4\alpha_1\alpha_2^2},$$

$$\zeta_5 = \frac{(\alpha_1 + u)(-\alpha_2 v + v^2)}{4\alpha_1\alpha_2^2}$$

and

$$\zeta_6 = \frac{(\alpha_1 - u)(-\alpha_2 v + v^2)}{4\alpha_1\alpha_2^2}.$$

It is easy to see that $\zeta_i^2 = \zeta_i$, $\zeta_i \zeta_j = 0$ and $\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3 + \zeta_4 + \zeta_5 + \zeta_6 = 1$ where $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ and $i \neq j$. By using orthogonal idempotents $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4, \zeta_5$ and ζ_6 , we can write the arbitrary element q_r of the ring Q as $q_r = \zeta_1 q_{r_1} + \zeta_2 q_{r_2} + \zeta_3 q_{r_3} + \zeta_4 q_{r_4} + \zeta_5 q_{r_5} + \zeta_6 q_{r_6}$, where $q_{r_1}, q_{r_2}, q_{r_3}, q_{r_4}, q_{r_5}, q_{r_6} \in \mathfrak{F}_q$. Now, we define two ring homomorphisms η and ζ as

$$\eta : Q \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_q$$

such that $\eta(q_r) = \eta(\zeta_1 q_{r_1} + \zeta_2 q_{r_2} + \zeta_3 q_{r_3} + \zeta_4 q_{r_4} + \zeta_5 q_{r_5} + \zeta_6 q_{r_6}) = q_{r_1}$ and

$$\Gamma : Q \longrightarrow P$$

such that $\Gamma(\zeta_1 q_{r_1} + \zeta_2 q_{r_2} + \zeta_3 q_{r_3} + \zeta_4 q_{r_4} + \zeta_5 q_{r_5} + \zeta_6 q_{r_6}) = E_1 q_{r_1} + E_2 q_{r_2} + E_3 q_{r_3}$. For arbitrary $q_r \in Q$ and $(x, y, z) \in \mathfrak{F}_q PQ$, we define Q -scalar multiplication on $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$ by:

$$\blacklozenge : Q \times \mathfrak{F}_q PQ \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_q PQ$$

such that

$$q_r \blacklozenge (x, y, z) = (\eta(q_r)(x), \Gamma(q_r)(y), q_r z).$$

This multiplication is well defined and we can extend this multiplication over $\mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha \times P^\beta \times Q^\gamma$ as

$$\blacklozenge : Q \times (\mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha \times P^\beta \times Q^\gamma) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha \times P^\beta \times Q^\gamma$$

such that $q_r \blacklozenge l = (\eta(q_r)x_0, \eta(q_r)x_1, \dots, \eta(q_r)x_{\alpha-1}, \Gamma(q_r)y_0, \Gamma(q_r)y_1, \dots, \Gamma(q_r)y_{\beta-1}, q_r z_0, q_r z_1, \dots, q_r z_{\gamma-1})$, where $q_r \in Q$ and $l = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha-1}, y_0, \dots, y_{\beta-1}, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{\gamma-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha \times P^\beta \times Q^\gamma$.

In view of this scalar multiplication, $\mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha \times P^\beta \times Q^\gamma$ forms a Q-module.

Definition 6. A nonempty subset \mathfrak{E} of $\mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha \times P^\beta \times Q^\gamma$ is a \mathfrak{F}_q PQ-linear code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$ if \mathfrak{E} is a Q-submodule of $\mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha \times P^\beta \times Q^\gamma$.

Let $l = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha-1}, y_0, \dots, y_{\beta-1}, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{\gamma-1})$ and $l' = (x'_0, x'_1, \dots, x'_{\alpha-1}, y'_0, \dots, y'_{\beta-1}, z'_0, z'_1, \dots, z'_{\gamma-1})$, where $l, l' \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha \times P^\beta \times Q^\gamma$. After this, we also define inner product of l and l' as

$$l \cdot l' = \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} x_i x'_i + \sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} y_j y'_j + \sum_{k=0}^{\gamma-1} z_k z'_k \in Q.$$

Here, the dual of \mathfrak{E} , i.e., $\mathfrak{E}^\perp = \{l' \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha \times P^\beta \times Q^\gamma \mid l \cdot l' = 0, \forall l \in \mathfrak{E}\}$.

Definition 7. A linear code \mathfrak{E} is a \mathfrak{F}_q PQ-cyclic code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$ if every cyclic shift of \mathfrak{E} is also in \mathfrak{E} , i.e., $\sigma(c) = (x_{\alpha-1}, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha-2}, p_{\beta-1}, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-2}, q_{\gamma-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-2}) \in \mathfrak{E}$, $\forall c \in \mathfrak{E}$, where $c = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha-1}, p_0, \dots, p_{\beta-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-1})$ and $\sigma(c)$ is a cyclic shift of \mathfrak{E} .

Proposition 1. Suppose \mathfrak{E} is a \mathfrak{F}_q PQ-cyclic code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$. Then, the dual of \mathfrak{E} is a also \mathfrak{F}_q PQ-cyclic code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$.

Proof. Suppose \mathfrak{E} is a \mathfrak{F}_q PQ-cyclic code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$, and next, let us consider that $l' \in \mathfrak{E}^\perp$ such that $l' = (x'_0, x'_1, \dots, x'_{\alpha-1}, p'_0, p'_1, \dots, p'_{\beta-1}, q'_0, q'_1, \dots, q'_{\gamma-1})$, and also, we take $\text{lcm}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = t$ and $l = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha-1}, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-1}) \in \mathfrak{E}$. Then, we will show that $\sigma(l') = (x'_{\alpha-1}, x'_0, x'_1, \dots, x'_{\alpha-2}, p'_{\beta-1}, p'_0, p'_1, \dots, p'_{\beta-2}, q'_{\gamma-1}, q'_0, q'_1, \dots, q'_{\gamma-2}) \in \mathfrak{E}^\perp$. From above described inner product, we have

$$\begin{aligned} l \cdot \sigma(l') &= (x_0 x'_{\alpha-1} + x_1 x'_0 + \dots + x_{\alpha-1} x'_{\alpha-2}) + (p_0 p'_{\beta-1} + p_1 p'_0 + \dots + p_{\beta-1} p'_{\beta-2}) + \\ &\quad (q_0 q'_{\gamma-1} + q_1 q'_0 + \dots + q_{\gamma-1} q'_{\gamma-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathfrak{E} is a \mathfrak{F}_q PQ-cyclic code and $\text{lcm}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = t$.

$$\sigma^{t-1}(l) = (x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha-1}, x_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-1}, p_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-1}, q_0).$$

Now, we take the inner product of $\sigma^{t-1}(l)$ and l' , we have

$$\sigma^{t-1}(l) \cdot l' = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{t-1}(l) \cdot l' &= (x_1 x'_0 + x_2 x'_1 + \dots + x_0 x'_{\alpha-1}) + (p_1 p'_0 + p_2 p'_1 + \dots + p_0 p'_{\beta-1}) + \\ &\quad (q_1 q'_0 + q_2 q'_1 + \dots + q_0 q'_{\gamma-1}) \end{aligned}$$

On comparing the coefficients of both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1x'_0 + x_2x'_1 + \dots + x_0x'_{\alpha-1} &= 0 \\ p_1p'_0 + p_2p'_1 + \dots + p_0p'_{\beta-1} &= 0 \\ q_1q'_0 + q_2q'_1 + \dots + q_0q'_{\gamma-1} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$l \cdot \sigma(l') = 0.$$

Thus, $\sigma(l') \in \mathfrak{E}^\perp$. This shows that \mathfrak{E}^\perp is a $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$ -cyclic code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$. \square

Let

$$Q_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} = \frac{\mathfrak{F}_q[x]}{\langle x^\alpha - 1 \rangle} \times \frac{P[x]}{\langle x^\beta - 1 \rangle} \times \frac{Q[x]}{\langle x^\gamma - 1 \rangle}$$

and $f = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}, b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{\beta-1}, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{\gamma-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha \times P^\beta \times Q^\gamma$. Let f be an arbitrary element of $Q_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}$, and then f can be identified as

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{\alpha-1}x^{\alpha-1}, b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots + b_{\beta-1}x^{\beta-1}, c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 \\ &\quad + \dots + c_{\gamma-1}x^{\gamma-1}) \\ &= (a(x), b(x), c(x)). \end{aligned}$$

This gives bijective mapping between $\mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha \times P^\beta \times Q^\gamma$ and $Q_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}$. Next, let us consider that $g(x) = q_0 + q_1x + q_2x^2 + \dots + q_nx^n \in Q[x]$ and $(a(x), b(x), c(x)) \in Q_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}$. With the help of previously defined Q -scalar multiplication, we induce the multiplication \odot in $Q_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}$ as $g(x) \odot (a(x), b(x), c(x)) = (\eta(g(x))a(x), \Gamma(g(x))b(x), g(x)c(x))$, where $\eta(g(x)) = \eta(q_0) + \eta(q_1)x + \dots + \eta(q_n)x^n$ and $\Gamma(g(x)) = \Gamma(q_0) + \Gamma(q_1)x + \dots + \Gamma(q_n)x^n$. It is simple to demonstrate that $Q_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}$ makes an $Q[x]$ -submodule with respect to multiplication \odot .

Proposition 2 ([25]). *A code \mathfrak{E} is a $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$ -cyclic code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$ if and only if \mathfrak{E} is a $Q[x]$ -submodule of $Q_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}$.*

3. Linear Codes and Gray Images over P and Q

In this part, we study the linear codes over P and Q as well as Gray maps. We construct Gray maps with the help of matrices. Gray maps are more intuitive and give better results. We see that P is a semi-local, commutative, and nonchain ring. An element p_r of P is of the form $p_r = a_1 + va_2 + v^2a_3$ such that $v^3 = a_2^2v$, where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathfrak{F}_q$.

In view of Chinese Remainder Theorem, it is clear to observe that $P = E_1\mathfrak{F}_q \oplus E_2\mathfrak{F}_q \oplus E_3\mathfrak{F}_q$, P is a semi-local, commutative, and nonchain ring, and each p_r has representation $p_r = \sum_{i=1}^3 \Delta_i a_i = \sum_{i=1}^3 E_i p_{r_i}$, where $a_i, p_{r_i} \in \mathfrak{F}_q$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We define the Gray map

$$\varphi_P : P \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_q^3 \tag{1}$$

by $\varphi_P(p_r) = \varphi_P(E_1p_{r_1} + E_2p_{r_2} + E_3p_{r_3}) = (p_{r_1}, p_{r_2}, p_{r_3})A_1 = \mathbf{e}A_1$, where $A_1 \in GL_3(\mathfrak{F}_q)$ is a fixed matrix and $GL_3(\mathfrak{F}_q)$ is the linear group of all 3×3 invertible matrices over the field \mathfrak{F}_q such that $A_1A_1^T = \epsilon I_{3 \times 3}$, where A_1^T is the transpose of A_1 and $\epsilon \in \mathfrak{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. Here, we use \mathbf{e} for the vector $(p_{r_1}, p_{r_2}, p_{r_3})$. With the orthogonal idempotent, we have $P = E_1\mathfrak{F}_q \oplus E_2\mathfrak{F}_q \oplus E_3\mathfrak{F}_q$. Every element $p_r \in P$ can be uniquely expressed as $p_r = E_1p_{r_1} + E_2p_{r_2} + E_3p_{r_3}$, where $p_{r_i} \in \mathfrak{F}_q$ and $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

The above-described map (1) can be extended as

$$\varphi_P : P^\beta \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_q^{3\beta}$$

component-wise as $(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-1}) \longrightarrow ((p_{r_{0,1}}, p_{r_{0,2}}, p_{r_{0,3}})A_1, (p_{r_{1,1}}, p_{r_{1,2}}, p_{r_{1,3}})A_1, \dots, (p_{r_{\beta-1,1}}, p_{r_{\beta-1,2}}, p_{r_{\beta-1,3}})A_1) = \mathbf{e}_0A_1, \mathbf{e}_1A_1, \mathbf{e}_2A_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{\beta-1}A_1$, here we take $\mathbf{p}_r = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-1})$

and $p_i = E_1 p_{r_{i,1}} + E_2 p_{r_{i,2}} + E_3 p_{r_{i,3}} \in P$, where $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \beta - 1$. We define $w_L(p_i) = w_H(\varphi_P(p_i))$, where $w_L(p_i)$ denotes the Lee weight of p_i and w_H stands for the Hamming weight over \mathfrak{F}_q . Let B_β be linear code of length β over P ; we define

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\beta_1} &= \{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\beta \mid \sum_{i=1}^3 E_i \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_i} \in B_\beta; \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\beta \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 3\}, \\ B_{\beta_2} &= \{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\beta \mid \sum_{i=1}^3 E_i \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_i} \in B_\beta; \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\beta \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 3\}, \\ B_{\beta_3} &= \{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\beta \mid \sum_{i=1}^3 E_i \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_i} \in B_\beta; \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\beta \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, B_{β_i} is a linear code of length β over \mathfrak{F}_q , for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Proposition 3. *The Gray map φ_P is a linear, bijective and distance preserving map from (P^β, d_L) to $(\mathfrak{F}_q^{3\beta}, d_H)$, where $d_L = d_H$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathbf{p}_\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}'_\mathbf{r} \in P^\beta$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_\mathbf{r} &= E_1 \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1} + E_2 \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2} + E_3 \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3} \\ \mathbf{p}'_\mathbf{r} &= E_1 \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_1} + E_2 \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_2} + E_3 \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_3} \\ \mathbf{p}_\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p}'_\mathbf{r} &= E_1 \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1} + E_2 \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2} + E_3 \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3} + E_1 \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_1} + E_2 \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_2} + E_3 \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_3} \\ \varphi_P(\mathbf{p}_\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p}'_\mathbf{r}) &= (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1} + \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2} + \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3} + \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_3}) A_1 \\ &= (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}) A_1 + (\mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_3}) A_1 \\ &= \varphi_P(\mathbf{p}_\mathbf{r}) + \varphi_P(\mathbf{p}'_\mathbf{r}) \end{aligned}$$

and we take $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}_q$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_P(\mu \mathbf{p}_\mathbf{r}) &= \varphi_P(E_1 \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1} + E_2 \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2} + E_3 \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}) \\ &= (\mu \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mu \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mu \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}) A_1 \\ &= \mu (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}) A_1 \\ &= \mu \varphi_P(\mathbf{p}_\mathbf{r}). \end{aligned}$$

So, φ_P is an \mathfrak{F}_q -linear map. Now, we will prove that φ_P is a bijection.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_P(\mathbf{p}_\mathbf{r}) &= \varphi_P(\mathbf{p}'_\mathbf{r}) \\ \varphi_P\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 E_i \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_i}\right) &= \varphi_P\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 E_i \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_i}\right) \\ (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}) A_1 &= (\mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_3}) A_1 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_i}, \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_i} \in \mathbb{F}_q^\beta$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. This implies that

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_i} = \mathbf{p}'_{\mathbf{r}_i},$$

Then $\mathbf{p}_\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{p}'_\mathbf{r}$. Henceforth, φ_P is one-one. Take any $(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}) A_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{3\beta}$; then there exists a corresponding element $\mathbf{p}_\mathbf{r} \in P$ such that $\varphi_P(\mathbf{p}_\mathbf{r}) = (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}) A_1$. Therefore, φ_P is an onto function. Hence, φ_P is a bijective map.

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_L(\mathbf{p}_r, \mathbf{p}'_r) &= w_L(\mathbf{p}_r - \mathbf{p}'_r) \\ &= w_H(\varphi_P(\mathbf{p}_r - \mathbf{p}'_r)) \\ &= w_H(\varphi_P(\mathbf{p}_r) - \varphi_P(\mathbf{p}'_r)) \\ &= d_H(\varphi_P(\mathbf{p}_r), \varphi_P(\mathbf{p}'_r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, φ_P is a distance preserving map. \square

Proposition 4. Let $B_\beta = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 E_i B_{\beta_i}$ be a linear code of length β over P . Then

(i). $\varphi_P(B_\beta) = B_{\beta_1} \otimes B_{\beta_2} \otimes B_{\beta_3}$.

(ii). $B_{\beta^\perp} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 E_i B_{\beta_i}^\perp$, further, B_β is a self-orthogonal code over P if and only if each B_{β_i} is self-orthogonal code over \mathfrak{F}_q and B_β is a self-dual code over P if and only if each B_{β_i} is self-dual code over \mathfrak{F}_q , for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof. 1. Let $\mathbf{s} = (\mu_0^1, \mu_1^1, \dots, \mu_{\beta-1}^1, \mu_0^2, \mu_1^2, \dots, \mu_{\beta-1}^2, \mu_0^3, \mu_1^3, \dots, \mu_{\beta-1}^3) \in \varphi_P(B_\beta)$ and $t_j = \sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_j^i E_i$, for $1 \leq j \leq \beta - 1$. So $\mathbf{t} = (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{\beta-1}) \in B_\beta$. Since φ_P is a bijective map, $(\mu_0^i, \mu_1^i, \dots, \mu_{\beta-1}^i) \in B_{\beta_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and this implies that $\mathbf{s} \in B_{\beta_1} \otimes B_{\beta_2} \otimes B_{\beta_3}$. Hence, $\varphi_P(B_\beta) \subseteq B_{\beta_1} \otimes B_{\beta_2} \otimes B_{\beta_3}$.

Conversely, let $\mathbf{s} = (\mu_0^1, \mu_1^1, \dots, \mu_{\beta-1}^1, \mu_0^2, \mu_1^2, \dots, \mu_{\beta-1}^2, \mu_0^3, \mu_1^3, \dots, \mu_{\beta-1}^3) \in B_{\beta_1} \otimes B_{\beta_2} \otimes B_{\beta_3}$ then $(\mu_0^i, \mu_1^i, \dots, \mu_{\beta-1}^i) \in B_{\beta_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We choose $t_j = \sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_j^i E_i$ for $1 \leq j \leq \beta - 1$, then $\mathbf{t} = (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{\beta-1}) \in B_\beta$ and $\varphi_P(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{s}$. Hence, $\mathbf{s} \in \varphi(B_\beta)$. Moreover, since φ_P is a bijective map, $|B_\beta| = |\varphi_P(B_\beta)|$, then $|B_\beta| = |B_{\beta_1} \otimes B_{\beta_2} \otimes B_{\beta_3}|$.

2. Let $D_j = \{\mathbf{t}_j \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\beta \mid \sum_{i=1}^3 E_i \mathbf{t}_i \in B_\beta^\perp \text{ for some } \mathbf{t}_i \in \mathfrak{F}_q^n, i \neq j \text{ and } 1 \leq i, j \leq 3\}$. Then, B_β^\perp is uniquely represented as $B_\beta^\perp = E_1 D_1 \oplus E_2 D_2 \oplus E_3 D_3$. Since $D_1 = \{\mathbf{t}_1 \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\beta \text{ such that } \sum_{i=1}^3 E_i t_i \in B_\beta^\perp, \text{ for some } \mathbf{t}_i \in \mathfrak{F}_q^n, i \neq 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq 3\}$. Clearly, $B_{\beta_1} D_1 = 0$, so $D_1 \subseteq B_{\beta_1}^\perp$.

Let $\mathbf{e}_1 \in B_{\beta_1}^\perp$; then $\mathbf{e}_1 x_1 = 0$ for any $c = \sum_{i=1}^4 E_i x_i \in B_\beta$. So $E_1 \mathbf{e}_1 c = E_1 \mathbf{e}_1 x_1 = 0$ and this implies that $E_1 \mathbf{e}_1 \in B_{\beta_1}^\perp$. We have $\mathbf{e}_1 \in D_1$ by the unique representation of B_β^\perp , so $B_{\beta_1}^\perp \subseteq D_1$. Similarly, we can show $B_{\beta_i}^\perp = D_i^\perp$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Thus $B_\beta^\perp = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 E_i B_{\beta_i}^\perp$. Moreover, B_β is a self-orthogonal code over P if and only if $B_\beta \subseteq B_\beta^\perp$. This shows that $E_1 B_{\beta_1} \oplus E_2 B_{\beta_2} \oplus E_3 B_{\beta_3} \subseteq E_1 B_{\beta_1}^\perp \oplus B_{\beta_2} \mathbf{e}_2^\perp \oplus E_3 B_{\beta_3}^\perp$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence, B_β is self-orthogonal code over P if and only if each B_{β_i} is self orthogonal code over \mathfrak{F}_q . Similarly, B_β is self-dual code over P if and only if each B_{β_i} is self-dual code over \mathfrak{F}_q , for $i = 1, 2, 3$. \square

Proposition 5. Let B_β be a linear code of length β over P . If B_β is a self-orthogonal, then $\varphi_P(B_\beta)$ is self-orthogonal.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{p}_r, \mathbf{p}'_r \in B_\beta$. Now $\mathbf{p}_r = (p_{r_0}, p_{r_1}, \dots, p_{r_{\beta-1}})$, $\mathbf{p}'_r = (p'_{r_0}, p'_{r_1}, \dots, p'_{r_{\beta-1}})$, where $p_j = \sum_{i=1}^3 E_i p_{r_{i,j}}$, $p'_j = \sum_{i=1}^3 E_i p'_{r_{i,j}}$, $p_{r_{i,j}}, p'_{r_{i,j}} \in \mathfrak{F}_q$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \beta - 1$. Next, let us consider that $\mathbf{p}_r \cdot \mathbf{p}'_r = 0$. Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\beta-1} p_j p'_j &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 E_i p_{r_{i,j}} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 E_i p'_{r_{i,j}} \right) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(E_i)^2 = E_i$, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} \sum_{i=0}^3 E_i p_{r_{i,j}} p'_{r_{i,j}} = \sum_{i=0}^3 \sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} E_i p_{r_{i,j}} p'_{r_{i,j}} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_{r_{i,j}} p'_{r_{i,j}} = 0,$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_P(\mathbf{p}_r) \varphi_P(\mathbf{p}'_r) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} \sum_{i=0}^3 p_{r_{i,j}} p'_{r_{i,j}} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^3 \sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} p_{r_{i,j}} p'_{r_{i,j}} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that,

$$\varphi_P(C^\perp) \subseteq \varphi_P(C)^\perp.$$

Since φ_P is a bijection, then $|\varphi_P(C^\perp)| = |\varphi_P(C)^\perp|$. Hence, $\varphi_P(C^\perp) = \varphi_P(C)^\perp$. Now, C is self-orthogonal if and only if $C \subseteq C^\perp$. Henceforth, $\varphi_P(C) \subseteq \varphi_P(C^\perp) = \varphi_P(C)^\perp$ if and only if $\varphi_P(C)$ is self-orthogonal. \square

An element q_r of Q is of the form $q_r = b_1 + ub_2 + vb_3 + uvb_4 + v^2b_5 + uv^2b_6$, for $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6 \in \mathfrak{F}_q$. With the help of Chinese remainder theorem, it is clear to observe that $Q = \zeta_1 \mathfrak{F}_q \oplus \zeta_2 \mathfrak{F}_q \oplus \zeta_3 \mathfrak{F}_q \oplus \zeta_4 \mathfrak{F}_q \oplus \zeta_5 \mathfrak{F}_q \oplus \zeta_6 \mathfrak{F}_q$. Hence, Q is semi-local, commutative, and nonchain ring. Moreover, each q_r has a unique representation, $q_r = \sum_{j=1}^6 \delta_j b_j = \sum_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j q_{r_j}$, where $b_j, q_{r_j} \in \mathfrak{F}_q$, for $1 \leq j \leq 6$. We define the Gray map

$$\varphi_Q : Q \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_q^6 \quad (2)$$

by $\varphi_Q(q_r) = \varphi_Q(\zeta_1 q_{r_1} + \zeta_2 q_{r_2} + \zeta_3 q_{r_3} + \zeta_4 q_{r_4} + \zeta_5 q_{r_5} + \zeta_6 q_{r_6}) = (q_{r_1}, q_{r_2}, q_{r_3}, q_{r_4}, q_{r_5}, q_{r_6}) A_2 = \mathbf{s} A_2$, where $A_2 \in GL_6(\mathfrak{F}_q)$ is a fixed matrix and $GL_6(\mathfrak{F}_q)$ is the linear group of all 6×6 invertible matrices over the field \mathfrak{F}_q such that $A_2 A_2^T = \lambda I_{6 \times 6}$, A_2^T is the transpose of A_2 and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ and we use \mathbf{s} for the vector $(q_{r_1}, q_{r_2}, q_{r_3}, q_{r_4}, q_{r_5}, q_{r_6})$.

The above-described map (2) can be extended component-wise as

$$\varphi_Q : Q^\beta \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_q^{6\gamma}$$

$(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-1}) \longrightarrow ((q_{r_{0,1}}, q_{r_{0,2}}, q_{r_{0,3}}, q_{r_{0,4}}, q_{r_{0,5}}, q_{r_{0,6}}) A_2, (q_{r_{1,1}}, q_{r_{1,2}}, q_{r_{1,3}}, q_{r_{1,4}}, q_{r_{1,5}}, q_{r_{1,6}}) A_2, \dots, (q_{r_{\gamma-1,1}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,2}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,3}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,4}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,5}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,6}}) A_2) = \mathbf{s}_0 A_2, \mathbf{s}_1 A_2, \mathbf{s}_2 A_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{\gamma-1} A_2$. We write $\mathbf{q}_r = (q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-1})$ and $q_j = \zeta_1 q_{r_{j,1}} + \zeta_2 q_{r_{j,2}} + \zeta_3 q_{r_{j,3}} + \zeta_4 q_{r_{j,4}} + \zeta_5 q_{r_{j,5}} + \zeta_6 q_{r_{j,6}} \in Q$, where $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \gamma - 1$. We denote $w_L(q_j) = w_H(\varphi_Q(q_j))$ to represent the Lee weight

of q_j , where w_H stands for the Hamming weight over \mathfrak{F}_q . Let \mathfrak{E}_γ be a linear code of length γ over Q ; we define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_1} &= \{\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_1} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\gamma \mid \sum_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_j} \in \mathfrak{E}_\gamma; \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_3}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_4}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_5}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_6} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\gamma, 1 \leq j \leq 6\}, \\ \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_2} &= \{\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_2} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\gamma \mid \sum_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_j} \in \mathfrak{E}_\gamma; \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_3}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_4}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_5}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_6} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\gamma, 1 \leq j \leq 6\}, \\ \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_3} &= \{\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_3} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\gamma \mid \sum_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_j} \in \mathfrak{E}_\gamma; \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_4}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_5}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_6} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\gamma, 1 \leq j \leq 6\}, \\ \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_4} &= \{\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_4} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\gamma \mid \sum_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_j} \in \mathfrak{E}_\gamma; \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_3}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_5}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_6} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\gamma, 1 \leq j \leq 6\}, \\ \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_5} &= \{\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_5} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\gamma \mid \sum_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_j} \in \mathfrak{E}_\gamma; \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_3}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_4}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_6} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\gamma, 1 \leq j \leq 6\}, \\ \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_6} &= \{\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_6} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\gamma \mid \sum_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_j} \in \mathfrak{E}_\gamma; \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_3}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_4}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_5} \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\gamma, 1 \leq j \leq 6\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, \mathfrak{E}_{γ_j} is a linear code of length γ over \mathfrak{F}_q , for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$.

We come to the following conclusions for Q using similar justifications to those used in the case of P .

Proposition 6. *The Gray map φ_Q is a linear and distance preserving map from (Q^γ, d_L) to $(\mathfrak{F}_q^{6\gamma}, d_H)$, where $d_L = d_H$.*

Proposition 7. *Let $\mathfrak{E}_\gamma = \bigoplus_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_j}$ be a linear code of length γ over Q . Then,*

- (i). $\varphi_Q(\mathfrak{E}_\gamma) = \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_1} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_2} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_3} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_4} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_5} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_6}$.
- (ii). $\mathfrak{E}_\gamma^\perp = \bigoplus_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_j}^\perp$; further, \mathfrak{E}_γ is self-orthogonal if and only if \mathfrak{E}_{γ_j} is self-orthogonal, and \mathfrak{E}_γ is self-dual if and only if \mathfrak{E}_{γ_j} is self-dual, for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$.

Proposition 8. *Let \mathfrak{E}_γ be a linear code of length γ over Q . If \mathfrak{E}_γ is a self-orthogonal, then $\varphi_Q(\mathfrak{E}_\gamma)$ is self-orthogonal.*

4. Gray Image over $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$

In the present section, we describe the Gray map over $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$ and its related results. In $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$, every element can be written as $(a, p_r, q_r) = (a, E_1 p_{r_1} + E_2 p_{r_2} + E_3 p_{r_3}, \zeta_1 q_{r_1} + \zeta_2 q_{r_2} + \zeta_3 q_{r_3} + \zeta_4 q_{r_4} + \zeta_5 q_{r_5} + \zeta_6 q_{r_6})$, where a, p_r, q_r are in \mathfrak{F}_q, P, Q , respectively. With the help of the above-described Gray maps (1) and (2), we define new Gary map over $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$ by

$$\varphi : \mathfrak{F}_q PQ \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_q^{10} \quad (3)$$

such that

$$\varphi(a, p_r, q_r) = (a, (p_{r_1}, p_{r_2}, p_{r_3}) A_1, (q_{r_1}, q_{r_2}, q_{r_3}, q_{r_4}, q_{r_5}, q_{r_6}) A_2) = (a, \mathbf{e} A_1, \mathbf{s} A_2).$$

Gray map φ is an \mathfrak{F}_q -linear and we can easily extend component-wise over $\mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha P^\beta Q^\gamma$ in the following manner:

$$\varphi : \mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha \times P^\beta \times Q^\gamma \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_q^{\alpha+3\beta+6\gamma}$$

is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-1}) &= (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}, (p_{r_{0,1}}, p_{r_{0,2}}, p_{r_{0,3}})A_1, \\
 &\quad (p_{r_{1,1}}, p_{r_{1,2}}, p_{r_{1,3}})A_1, \dots, (p_{r_{\beta-1,1}}, p_{r_{\beta-1,2}}, \\
 &\quad p_{r_{\beta-1,3}})A_1, (q_{r_{0,1}}, q_{r_{0,2}}, q_{r_{0,3}}, q_{r_{0,4}}, q_{r_{0,5}}, \\
 &\quad q_{r_{0,6}})A_2, (q_{r_{1,1}}, q_{r_{1,2}}, q_{r_{1,3}}, q_{r_{1,4}}, q_{r_{1,5}}, q_{r_{1,6}})A_2, \\
 &\quad \dots, (q_{r_{\gamma-1,1}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,2}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,3}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,4}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,5}}, \\
 &\quad q_{r_{\gamma-1,6}})A_2), \\
 &= (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}_0 A_1, \mathbf{e}_1 A_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{\beta-1} A_1, \mathbf{s}_0 A_2, \mathbf{s}_1 A_2, \\
 &\quad \dots, \mathbf{s}_{\gamma-1} A_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha$, $\mathbf{p}_r = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-1}) \in P^\beta$ and $\mathbf{q}_r = (q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-1}) \in Q^\gamma$. Here, each $p_i = E_1 p_{r_i,1} + E_2 p_{r_i,2} + E_3 p_{r_i,3}$, $q_j = \zeta_1 q_{r_j,1} + \zeta_2 q_{r_j,2} + \zeta_3 q_{r_j,3} + \zeta_4 q_{r_j,4} + \zeta_5 q_{r_j,5} + \zeta_6 q_{r_j,6}$ are in P and Q respectively, where $i = 0, 1, \dots, \beta-1$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \gamma-1$. In the same manner as in [20], we define the Lee weight for the element as

$$w_L(\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{p}'_r, \mathbf{q}'_r) = w_H(\mathbf{a}') + w_L(\mathbf{p}'_r) + w_L(\mathbf{q}'_r), \quad \forall (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{p}'_r, \mathbf{q}'_r) \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha \times P^\beta \times Q^\gamma,$$

where w_H represents the Hamming weight and w_L represents the Lee weight. Lee distance between the elements $\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}' \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha \times P^\beta \times Q^\gamma$ is defined as

$$d_L(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}') = w_L(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{y}') = w_H(\varphi(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}')).$$

Next, we give the results on the Gray map over $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$.

Proposition 9. *Let φ be the above described Gray map. Then*

- (i). φ is an \mathfrak{F}_q -linear and distance preserving map from $\mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha P^\beta Q^\gamma$ to $\mathfrak{F}_q^{\alpha+3\beta+6\gamma}$.
- (ii). If \mathfrak{E} is a linear code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$ over $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$, then Gray image $\varphi(\mathfrak{E})$ of \mathfrak{E} is also a linear code with the parameters $[\alpha + 3\beta + 6\gamma, k, d_H]$ over \mathfrak{F}_q .

Proof. (i). We take two arbitrary elements \mathbf{x}' and \mathbf{y}' of $\mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha P^\beta Q^\gamma$ such that $\mathbf{x}' = (\mathbf{a}^1, \mathbf{p}_r^1, \mathbf{q}_r^1)$ and $\mathbf{y}' = (\mathbf{a}^2, \mathbf{p}_r^2, \mathbf{q}_r^2)$. Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{a}^1 &= a_0^1, a_1^1, a_2^1, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}^1, \\
 \mathbf{a}^2 &= a_0^2, a_1^2, a_2^2, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}^2, \\
 \mathbf{p}_r^1 &= E_1 \mathbf{p}_{r_1}^1 + E_2 \mathbf{p}_{r_2}^1 + E_3 \mathbf{p}_{r_3}^1, \\
 \mathbf{p}_r^2 &= E_1 \mathbf{p}_{r_1}^2 + E_2 \mathbf{p}_{r_2}^2 + E_3 \mathbf{p}_{r_3}^2, \\
 \mathbf{q}_r^1 &= \zeta_1 \mathbf{q}_{r_1}^1 + \zeta_2 \mathbf{q}_{r_2}^1 + \zeta_3 \mathbf{q}_{r_3}^1 + \zeta_4 \mathbf{q}_{r_4}^1 + \zeta_5 \mathbf{q}_{r_5}^1 + \zeta_6 \mathbf{q}_{r_6}^1, \\
 \mathbf{q}_r^2 &= \zeta_1 \mathbf{q}_{r_1}^2 + \zeta_2 \mathbf{q}_{r_2}^2 + \zeta_3 \mathbf{q}_{r_3}^2 + \zeta_4 \mathbf{q}_{r_4}^2 + \zeta_5 \mathbf{q}_{r_5}^2 + \zeta_6 \mathbf{q}_{r_6}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbf{a}^1, \mathbf{a}^2, \mathbf{p}_r^1, \mathbf{p}_r^2$, and $\mathbf{q}_r^1, \mathbf{q}_r^2$ are in $\mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha, P^\beta$, and Q^γ , respectively. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{p}_r^1 &= (p_{r_1,0}^1, p_{r_1,1}^1, p_{r_1,2}^1, \dots, p_{r_1,\beta-1}^1), \\
 \mathbf{p}_r^2 &= (p_{r_1,0}^2, p_{r_1,1}^2, p_{r_1,2}^2, \dots, p_{r_1,\beta-1}^2) \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\beta, \\
 \mathbf{q}_r^1 &= (q_{r_1,0}^1, q_{r_1,1}^1, q_{r_1,2}^1, \dots, q_{r_1,\gamma-1}^1), \\
 \mathbf{q}_r^2 &= (q_{r_1,0}^2, q_{r_1,1}^2, q_{r_1,2}^2, \dots, q_{r_1,\gamma-1}^2) \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\gamma.
 \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $1 \leq j \leq 6$. We take

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{y}') &= (\mathbf{a}^1 + \mathbf{a}^2, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}^1 + \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}^2, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}^1 + \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}^2, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}^1 + \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}^2, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_1}^1 + \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_1}^2, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_2}^1 + \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_2}^2, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_3}^1 + \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_3}^2, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_4}^1 + \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_4}^2, \\ &\quad \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_5}^1 + \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_5}^2, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_6}^1 + \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_6}^2) \\ &= (\mathbf{a}^1, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}^1, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}^1, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}^1, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_1}^1, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_2}^1, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_3}^1, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_4}^1, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_5}^1, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_6}^1) + (\mathbf{a}^2, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}^2, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}^2, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}^2, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_1}^2, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_2}^2, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_3}^2, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_4}^2, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_5}^2, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_6}^2) \\ &= \varphi(\mathbf{x}') + \varphi(\mathbf{y}')\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\omega \mathbf{x}') &= (\omega \mathbf{a}^1, \omega \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}^1, \omega \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}^1, \omega \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}^1, \omega \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_1}^1, \omega \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_2}^1, \omega \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_3}^1, \omega \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_4}^1, \omega \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_5}^1, \omega \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}_6}^1) \\ &= \omega \varphi(\mathbf{x}'),\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega \in \mathfrak{F}_q$. Hence, φ is an \mathfrak{F}_q -linear map.

For the remaining part, we will use the fact that φ is a linear map, so we have

$$d_L(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}') = w_L(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{y}') = w_H(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{y}') = d_H(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}').$$

Therefore, the result is follows.

(ii). This is directly by the definition of Gray map φ . \square

In the next step, we define the quasi cyclic code and generalized quasi cyclic code as follows:

Definition 8. Suppose $\omega \in \mathfrak{F}_q^{mn}$ such that $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)$, where $\omega_i \in \mathfrak{F}_q^m$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let ξ be the cyclic shift from \mathfrak{F}_q^m to \mathfrak{F}_q^m and defined as

$$\xi(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}) = (a_{m-1}, a_0, \dots, a_{m-2}).$$

We define another map from \mathfrak{F}_q^{mn} to \mathfrak{F}_q^{mn} such that

$$\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n) = (\xi(\omega_1), \xi(\omega_2), \dots, \xi(\omega_n)),$$

where $\omega \in \mathfrak{F}_q^{mn}$ such that $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)$. From here, a code \mathfrak{E} is known as a quasi-cyclic code of index n if $\Omega(\mathfrak{E}) = \mathfrak{E}$.

Definition 9. Let $\omega' \in \mathfrak{F}_q^{m_1} \times \mathfrak{F}_q^{m_2} \times \mathfrak{F}_q^{m_3} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{F}_q^{m_n}$ such that $\omega' = (\omega'_1, \omega'_2, \dots, \omega'_n)$, where $\omega'_i \in \mathfrak{F}_q^{m_i}$ such that $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. Now, again, let ξ be the cyclic shift from $\mathfrak{F}_q^{m_i}$ to $\mathfrak{F}_q^{m_i}$ as

$$\xi : \mathfrak{F}_q^{m_i} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_q^{m_i}$$

and defined as

$$\xi(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m_i-1}) = (a_{m_i-1}, a_0, \dots, a_{m_i-2}).$$

Next, we define another map as

$$\Omega_g : \mathfrak{F}_q^{m_1} \times \mathfrak{F}_q^{m_2} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{F}_q^{m_n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_q^{m_1} \times \mathfrak{F}_q^{m_2} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{F}_q^{m_n}$$

such that

$$\Omega_g(\omega'_1, \omega'_2, \dots, \omega'_n) = (\xi(\omega'_1), \xi(\omega'_2), \dots, \xi(\omega'_n)).$$

A code \mathfrak{E} is called a generalized quasi-cyclic code if $\Omega_g(\mathfrak{E}) = \mathfrak{E}$.

In view of the above definition, we prove the following result:

Theorem 1. Let ξ be the cyclic shift over \mathfrak{F}_qPQ , and let φ and Ω_g be the mappings described above. Prove that $\varphi_\xi = \Omega_g \varphi$.

Proof. Let $c = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}_q^\alpha P^\beta Q^\gamma$, where each

$$p_i = E_1 p_{r_i,1} + E_2 p_{r_i,2} + E_3 p_{r_i,3} \in P$$

and

$$q_j = \zeta_1 q_{r_j,1} + \zeta_2 q_{r_j,2} + \zeta_3 q_{r_j,3} + \zeta_4 q_{r_j,4} + \zeta_5 q_{r_j,5} + \zeta_6 q_{r_j,6} \in Q$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \beta - 1$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \gamma - 1$. Now, we take

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\xi}(c) &= \varphi_{\xi}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-1}) \\ &= \varphi(a_{\alpha-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-2}, p_{\beta-1}, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-2}, q_{\gamma-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-2}) \\ &= (a_{\alpha-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-2}, (p_{r_{\beta-1,1}}, p_{r_{\beta-1,2}}, p_{r_{\beta-1,3}})A_1, (p_{r_0,1}, p_{r_0,2}, p_{r_0,3})A_1, (p_{r_1,1}, p_{r_1,2}, p_{r_1,3})A_1, \\ &\quad \dots, (p_{r_{\beta-2,1}}, p_{r_{\beta-2,2}}, p_{r_{\beta-2,3}})A_1, (q_{r_{\gamma-1,1}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,2}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,3}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,4}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,5}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,6}})A_2, (q_{r_0,1}, q_{r_0,2}, \\ &\quad q_{r_0,3}, q_{r_0,4}, q_{r_0,5}, q_{r_0,6})A_2, (q_{r_1,1}, q_{r_1,2}, q_{r_1,3}, q_{r_1,4}, q_{r_1,5}, q_{r_1,6})A_2, \dots, (q_{r_{\gamma-2,1}}, q_{r_{\gamma-2,2}}, q_{r_{\gamma-2,3}}, \\ &\quad q_{r_{\gamma-2,4}}, q_{r_{\gamma-2,5}}, \dots, q_{r_{\gamma-2,6}})A_2). \end{aligned}$$

After that, we will obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_g \varphi(\mathfrak{E}) &= \Omega_g \varphi(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-1}) \\ &= \Omega(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}, (p_{r_0,1}, p_{r_0,2}, p_{r_0,3})A_1, (p_{r_1,1}, p_{r_1,2}, p_{r_1,3})A_1, \dots, (p_{r_{\beta-1,1}}, p_{r_{\beta-1,2}}, p_{r_{\beta-1,3}})A_1, \\ &\quad (q_{r_0,1}, q_{r_0,2}, q_{r_0,3}, q_{r_0,4}, q_{r_0,5}, q_{r_0,6})A_2, (q_{r_1,1}, q_{r_1,2}, q_{r_1,3}, q_{r_1,4}, q_{r_1,5}, q_{r_1,6})A_2, \dots, (q_{r_{\gamma-1,1}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,2}}, \\ &\quad q_{r_{\gamma-1,3}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,4}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,5}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,6}})A_2) \\ &= (a_{\alpha-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-2}, (p_{r_{\beta-1,1}}, p_{r_{\beta-1,2}}, p_{r_{\beta-1,3}})A_1, (p_{r_0,1}, p_{r_0,2}, p_{r_0,3})A_1, (p_{r_1,1}, p_{r_1,2}, p_{r_1,3})A_1, \\ &\quad \dots, (p_{r_{\beta-2,1}}, p_{r_{\beta-2,2}}, p_{r_{\beta-2,3}})A_1, (q_{r_{\gamma-1,1}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,2}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,3}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,4}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,5}}, q_{r_{\gamma-1,6}})A_2, (q_{r_0,1}, q_{r_0,2}, \\ &\quad q_{r_0,3}, q_{r_0,4}, q_{r_0,5}, q_{r_0,6})A_2, (q_{r_1,1}, q_{r_1,2}, q_{r_1,3}, q_{r_1,4}, q_{r_1,5}, q_{r_1,6})A_2, \dots, (q_{r_{\gamma-2,1}}, q_{r_{\gamma-2,2}}, q_{r_{\gamma-2,3}}, \\ &\quad q_{r_{\gamma-2,4}}, q_{r_{\gamma-2,5}}, \dots, q_{r_{\gamma-2,6}})A_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that, $\varphi_{\xi} = \Omega_g \varphi$. \square

In view of Theorem 1, we obtain following result.

Theorem 2. Let \mathfrak{E} be a linear code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$ over $\mathfrak{F}_q P Q$. Then, the Gray image of a $\mathfrak{F}_q P Q$ -cyclic code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$ is a generalized quasi-cyclic code with an index of 10 over \mathfrak{F}_q .

5. Main Results

In this section, we describe the structural properties of cyclic codes over \mathfrak{F}_q , P , Q , and $\mathfrak{F}_q P Q$ as well as obtain quantum error-correcting codes over $\mathfrak{F}_q P Q$.

5.1. Cyclic Codes over \mathfrak{F}_q

Theorem 3 ([28], Theorem 12.9). Let A be a cyclic code of length α of over \mathfrak{F}_q . Then there exists a unique polynomial $a(\kappa) \in \frac{\mathfrak{F}_q[\kappa]}{(\kappa^\alpha - 1)}$ such that $A_\alpha = \langle a(\kappa) \rangle$ and $a(\kappa) \mid (\kappa^\alpha - 1)$. Moreover, the dimension of A_α is $r = \alpha - \deg(a)$ with $\{a(\kappa), \kappa a(\kappa), \dots, \kappa^{r-1} a(\kappa)\}$ as a basis.

5.2. Cyclic Codes over P

Theorem 4. Let $B_\beta = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 E_i B_{\beta_i}$ be a linear code of length β over P . Then B_β is a cyclic code of length β over P if and only if each B_{β_i} is a cyclic code over \mathfrak{F}_q , where $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof. For any $\mathbf{p}_r = (p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{\beta-1}) \in B_\beta$. We can also have,

$$p_i = E_1 p_{r_i,1} + E_2 p_{r_i,2} + E_3 p_{r_i,3},$$

where $p_{r_{i,1}}, p_{r_{i,2}}, p_{r_{i,3}} \in \mathfrak{F}_q$ and also

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1} = (p_{r_{1,0}}, p_{r_{1,1}}, p_{r_{1,2}}, \dots, p_{r_{1,\beta-1}}) \in B_{\beta_1},$$

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2} = (p_{r_{2,0}}, p_{r_{2,1}}, p_{r_{2,2}}, \dots, p_{r_{2,\beta-1}}) \in B_{\beta_2},$$

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3} = (p_{r_{3,0}}, p_{r_{3,1}}, p_{r_{3,2}}, \dots, p_{r_{3,\beta-1}}) \in B_{\beta_3}.$$

Here, $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}$ are in $B_{\beta_1}, B_{\beta_2}, B_{\beta_3}$ respectively. Next, let us consider that $B_{\beta_1}, B_{\beta_2}, B_{\beta_3}$ are cyclic code over \mathfrak{F}_q . It means that

$$\xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}) = (p_{r_{1,\beta-1}}, p_{r_{1,0}}, p_{r_{1,1}}, p_{r_{1,2}}, \dots, p_{r_{1,\beta-2}}) \in B_{\beta_1},$$

$$\xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}) = (p_{r_{2,\beta-1}}, p_{r_{2,0}}, p_{r_{2,1}}, p_{r_{2,2}}, \dots, p_{r_{2,\beta-2}}) \in B_{\beta_2},$$

$$\xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}) = (p_{r_{3,\beta-1}}, p_{r_{3,0}}, p_{r_{3,1}}, p_{r_{3,2}}, \dots, p_{r_{3,\beta-2}}) \in B_{\beta_3}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\xi(p_i) = E_1 \xi(p_{r_{i,1}}) + E_2 \xi(p_{r_{i,2}}) + E_3 \xi(p_{r_{i,3}}) \in B_{\beta}.$$

This gives

$$E_1 \xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}) + E_2 \xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}) + E_3 \xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}) = \xi(p_r).$$

Thus, we obtain, $\xi(\mathbf{p}_r) \in B_{\beta}$. This implies that B_{β} is a cyclic code over P . Conversely, we consider that B_{β} is a cyclic code over P . Suppose

$$p_r = E_1 p_{r_{1,1}} + E_2 p_{r_{1,2}} + E_3 p_{r_{1,3}},$$

where $p_{r_{i,1}}, p_{r_{i,2}}, p_{r_{i,3}} \in \mathfrak{F}_q$. Then, for any

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1} = (p_{r_{1,0}}, p_{r_{1,1}}, p_{r_{1,2}}, \dots, p_{r_{1,\beta-1}}) \in B_{\beta_1},$$

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2} = (p_{r_{2,0}}, p_{r_{2,1}}, p_{r_{2,2}}, \dots, p_{r_{2,\beta-1}}) \in B_{\beta_2},$$

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3} = (p_{r_{3,0}}, p_{r_{3,1}}, p_{r_{3,2}}, \dots, p_{r_{3,\beta-1}}) \in B_{\beta_3}.$$

Here, $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}$ are in $B_{\beta_1}, B_{\beta_2}, B_{\beta_3}$, respectively. Thus, $\mathbf{p}_r = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-1}) \in B_{\beta}$. By the hypothesis, $\xi(p_r) \in B_{\beta}$ because

$$E_1 \xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}) + E_2 \xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}) + E_3 \xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}) = \xi(p_r)$$

Then, we have

$$E_1 \xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}) + E_2 \xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}) + E_3 \xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}) \in B_{\beta}.$$

Therefore,

$$\xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_1}) \in B_{\beta,1}, \xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_2}) \in B_{\beta,2}, \xi(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}_3}) \in B_{\beta,3}.$$

This shows that B_{β_1}, B_{β_2} and B_{β_3} are cyclic codes over \mathfrak{F}_q . \square

Corollary 1. Let $B_{\beta} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 E_i B_{\beta_i}$ be a cyclic code of length β over P . Then, $B_{\beta}^{\perp} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 E_i B_{\beta_i}^{\perp}$ is also a cyclic code of length β over P if and only if $B_{\beta_i}^{\perp}$ are cyclic codes of length β over \mathfrak{F}_q , for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Theorem 5. Let $B_{\beta} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 E_i B_{\beta_i}$ be a cyclic code of length β over P and $h_i(\kappa)$ be the generator polynomial of the cyclic code B_{β_i} , where $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then, $B_{\beta} = \langle E_1 h_1(\kappa), E_2 h_2(\kappa), E_3 h_3(\kappa) \rangle$ and $|B_{\beta}| = q^{3\beta - \sum_{i=1}^3 \deg(h_i)}$.

Proof. Let B_{β} be a cyclic code of length β over P . Then, by Theorem 4,

$$B_{\beta_1} = \langle h_1(\kappa) \rangle \subseteq \frac{\mathfrak{F}_q[\kappa]}{\langle \kappa^{\beta} - 1 \rangle},$$

$$B_{\beta_2} = \langle h_2(\kappa) \rangle \subseteq \frac{\mathfrak{F}_q[\kappa]}{\langle \kappa^\beta - 1 \rangle},$$

$$B_{\beta_3} = \langle h_3(\kappa) \rangle \subseteq \frac{\mathfrak{F}_q[\kappa]}{\langle \kappa^\beta - 1 \rangle},$$

and also

$$B_\beta = E_1 B_{\beta_1} \oplus E_2 B_{\beta_2} \oplus E_3 B_{\beta_3},$$

where

$$h_1(\kappa) \in B_{\beta_1}, h_2(\kappa) \in B_{\beta_2}, h_3(\kappa) \in B_{\beta_3}.$$

Therefore,

$$B_\beta \subseteq \langle E_1 h_1(\kappa), E_2 h_2(\kappa), E_3 h_3(\kappa) \rangle$$

$$\subseteq \frac{P[\kappa]}{\langle \kappa^\beta - 1 \rangle}.$$

We take any

$$E_1 k_{r_1}(\kappa) h_1(\kappa) + E_2 k_{r_2}(\kappa) h_2(\kappa) + E_3 k_{r_3}(\kappa) h_3(\kappa) \in \langle E_1 h_1(\kappa), E_2 h_2(\kappa), E_3 h_3(\kappa) \rangle$$

$$\subseteq \frac{P[\kappa]}{\langle \kappa^\beta - 1 \rangle}.$$

Here, $k_{r_1}(\kappa), k_{r_2}(\kappa), k_{r_3}(\kappa) \in \frac{P[\kappa]}{\langle \kappa^\beta - 1 \rangle}$ and also $h_1(\kappa), h_2(\kappa), h_3(\kappa) \in \mathfrak{F}_q[\kappa]$ such that

$$E_1 k_{r_1}(\kappa) = E_1 h_1(\kappa),$$

$$E_2 k_{r_2}(\kappa) = E_2 h_2(\kappa),$$

$$E_3 k_{r_3}(\kappa) = E_3 h_3(\kappa).$$

This means that $\langle E_1 h_1(\kappa), E_2 h_2(\kappa), E_3 h_3(\kappa) \rangle \subseteq B_\beta$. From the above discussion, we conclude that $\langle E_1 h_1(\kappa), E_2 h_2(\kappa), E_3 h_3(\kappa) \rangle = B_\beta$. But,

$$B_\beta = |B_{\beta_1}| |B_{\beta_2}| |B_{\beta_3}|.$$

This yields that

$$|B_\beta| = q^{\beta - \deg(h_1(\kappa))} q^{\beta - \deg(h_2(\kappa))} q^{\beta - \deg(h_3(\kappa))}$$

$$= q^{3\beta - (\deg(h_1(\kappa)) + \deg(h_2(\kappa)) + \deg(h_3(\kappa)))}$$

$$|B_\beta| = q^{3\beta - \sum_{i=1}^3 \deg(h_i)}.$$

□

Theorem 6. Let $B_\beta = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 E_i B_{\beta_i}$ be a cyclic code of length β over P and $h_i(\kappa)$ be the generator polynomial of the cyclic code B_{β_i} , where $i = 1, 2, 3$. Suppose there exists a unique polynomial $h(\kappa) \in P(\kappa)$ such that $B_\beta = \langle h(\kappa) \rangle$ and $h(\kappa)$ divides $\kappa^\beta - 1$ and also $h(\kappa) = E_1 h_1(\kappa) + E_2 h_2(\kappa) + E_3 h_3(\kappa)$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 5, let $C = \langle E_1 h_1(\kappa), E_2 h_2(\kappa), E_3 h_3(\kappa) \rangle$ and $h_1(\kappa), h_2(\kappa), h_3(\kappa)$ be the monic generator polynomials of $B_{\beta_1}, B_{\beta_2}, B_{\beta_3}$, respectively. Next, let us consider that $h(\kappa) = E_1 h_1(\kappa) + E_2 h_2(\kappa) + E_3 h_3(\kappa)$. Obviously, $\langle h(\kappa) \rangle \subseteq B_\beta$. Now,

$$E_1 h_1(\kappa) = E_1 h(\kappa),$$

$$E_2 h_2(\kappa) = E_2 h(\kappa),$$

$$E_3 h_3(\kappa) = E_3 h(\kappa).$$

Also, it means that $B_\beta \subseteq \langle h(\kappa) \rangle$. This is clear from above discussion that $B_\beta = \langle h(\kappa) \rangle$. But $h_1(\kappa), h_2(\kappa), h_3(\kappa)$ are the monic divisor of $(\kappa^\beta - 1)$. There are $t_{r_1}(\kappa), t_{r_2}(\kappa), t_{r_3}(\kappa) \in \frac{\mathfrak{F}_q[\kappa]}{\langle \kappa^\beta - 1 \rangle}$. This implies that

$$[E_1 t_{r_1}(\kappa) + E_2 t_{r_2}(\kappa) + E_3 t_{r_3}(\kappa)] h(\kappa) = \kappa^\beta - 1.$$

Therefore, $h(\kappa) / (\kappa^\beta - 1)$. Hence, $h(\kappa)$ is unique by the uniqueness of $h_1(\kappa), h_2(\kappa), h_3(\kappa)$. \square

Corollary 2. Let $B_\beta = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 E_i B_{\beta_i}$ be a cyclic code of length β over P , $h_i(\kappa)$ be the generator polynomial of the cyclic code B_{β_i} and $e_i^*(\kappa)$ is the reciprocal polynomials of $e_i(\kappa)$ such that $\kappa^\beta - 1 = e_i(\kappa)h_i(\kappa)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then, $B_\beta^\perp = \langle E_1 e_1^*(\kappa), E_2 e_2^*(\kappa), E_3 e_3^*(\kappa) \rangle$ and $|B_\beta^\perp| = q^{\sum_{i=1}^3 \deg(h_i)}$.

5.3. Cyclic Codes over Q

We arrive at the following conclusions for cyclic codes over Q using similar justifications to those used in the case of cyclic codes over P .

Theorem 7. Let $\mathfrak{E}_\gamma = \bigoplus_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_j}$ be a linear code of length γ over Q . Then \mathfrak{E}_γ is a cyclic code of length γ over Q if and only if each \mathfrak{E}_{γ_j} is a cyclic code over \mathfrak{F}_q , where $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$.

Corollary 3. Let $\mathfrak{E}_\gamma = \bigoplus_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_j}$ be a cyclic code of length γ over Q . Then, $\mathfrak{E}_\gamma^\perp = \bigoplus_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j (\mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_j}^\perp)$ is also a cyclic code of length γ over Q if and only if each $\mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_j}^\perp$ is a cyclic code of length γ over \mathfrak{F}_q , for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$.

Theorem 8. Let $\mathfrak{E}_\gamma = \bigoplus_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_j}$ be a cyclic code of length γ over Q and $\ell_j(\kappa)$ be the generator polynomial of the cyclic code \mathfrak{E}_{γ_j} , where $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. Then, $\mathfrak{E}_\gamma = \langle \ell(\kappa) \rangle$ and $|\mathfrak{E}_\gamma| = q^{3\gamma - \sum_{j=1}^6 \deg(\ell_j)}$, where $\ell(\kappa) = \zeta_1 \ell_1(\kappa) + \zeta_2 \ell_2(\kappa) + \zeta_3 \ell_3(\kappa) + \zeta_4 \ell_4(\kappa) + \zeta_5 \ell_5(\kappa) + \zeta_6 \ell_6(\kappa)$.

Theorem 9. Let $\mathfrak{E}_\gamma = \bigoplus_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_j}$ be a cyclic code of length γ over Q and $\ell_j(\kappa)$ be the generator polynomial of the cyclic code \mathfrak{E}_{γ_j} , where $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. Suppose there exists a unique polynomial $\ell(\kappa) \in Q(\kappa)$ such that $\mathfrak{E}_\gamma = \langle \ell(\kappa) \rangle$ and $\ell(\kappa)$ divides $\kappa^\gamma - 1$ and also $\ell(\kappa) = \zeta_1 \ell_1(\kappa) + \zeta_2 \ell_2(\kappa) + \zeta_3 \ell_3(\kappa) + \zeta_4 \ell_4(\kappa) + \zeta_5 \ell_5(\kappa) + \zeta_6 \ell_6(\kappa)$.

Corollary 4. Let $\mathfrak{E}_\gamma = \bigoplus_{j=1}^6 \zeta_j \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_j}$ be a cyclic code of length γ over Q . Suppose $\ell_j(\kappa)$ is the generator polynomial of the cyclic code \mathfrak{E}_{γ_j} and $k_j^*(\kappa)$ is the reciprocal polynomials of $k_j(\kappa)$ such that $\kappa^\gamma - 1 = k_j(\kappa)\ell_j(\kappa)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. Then, $\mathfrak{E}_\gamma^\perp = \langle \zeta_1 k_1^*(\kappa), \zeta_2 k_2^*(\kappa), \zeta_3 k_3^*(\kappa), \zeta_4 k_4^*(\kappa), \zeta_5 k_5^*(\kappa), \zeta_6 k_6^*(\kappa) \rangle$ and $|\mathfrak{E}_\gamma^\perp| = q^{\sum_{j=1}^6 \deg(\ell_j^*)}$.

5.4. Cyclic Codes over $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$

In the present section, we discuss the generator polynomial of \mathfrak{E} over $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$. We begin with the following result:

Theorem 10. Let \mathfrak{E} be a cyclic code over $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$. Then,

$$\mathfrak{E} = \langle (a(\kappa)|0|0), (0|h(\kappa)|0), (r_1(\kappa)|r_2(\kappa)|\ell(\kappa)) \rangle,$$

where $a(\kappa)|(\kappa^\alpha - 1), h(\kappa)|(\kappa^\beta - 1), \ell(\kappa)|(\kappa^\gamma - 1)$ and also $r_1(\kappa) \in \frac{\mathfrak{F}_q[\kappa]}{\langle \kappa^\alpha - 1 \rangle}, r_2(\kappa) \in \frac{\mathfrak{F}_q[\kappa]}{\langle \kappa^\beta - 1 \rangle}$.

Proof. In view of Theorems 3, 5 and 8, we define

$$\begin{aligned} A_\alpha &= \langle a(\kappa) \rangle, \\ B_\beta &= \langle h(\kappa) \rangle, \\ \mathfrak{E}'_\gamma &= \langle \ell(\kappa) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $a(\kappa)|(\kappa^\alpha - 1)$, $h(\kappa)|(\kappa^\beta - 1)$, $\ell(\kappa)|(\kappa^\gamma - 1)$. Then, the proof is similar as in [20]. \square

Definition 10 ([25]). A \mathfrak{F}_q PQ-linear code \mathfrak{E} of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$ is called a separable code if $\mathfrak{E} = A'_\alpha \otimes B'_\beta \otimes \mathfrak{E}'_\gamma$ while considering A'_α , B'_β and \mathfrak{E}'_γ as punctured code of \mathfrak{E} by deleting the coordinate outside the α , β and γ components, respectively.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathfrak{E} = \langle (a(\kappa)|0|0), (0|h(\kappa)|0), (r_1(\kappa)|r_2(\kappa)|\ell(\kappa)) \rangle$ be a \mathfrak{F}_q PQ-cyclic code. Then,

- (i) $\deg(r_1(\kappa)) \leq \deg(a(\kappa))$, $\deg(r_2(\kappa)) \leq \deg(h(\kappa))$ and $a(\kappa)|h(\kappa)r_1(\kappa)$, $h(\kappa)|\ell(\kappa)r_2(\kappa)$.
- (ii) $A'_\alpha = \langle \gcd(a(\kappa), r_1(\kappa)) \rangle$, $B'_\beta = \langle \gcd(h(\kappa), r_2(\kappa)) \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{E}'_\gamma = \langle \ell(\kappa) \rangle$.

Proof. The proof is parallel to that of Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 of [20]. \square

Lemma 2. Let $\mathfrak{E} = \langle (a(\kappa)|0|0), (0|h(\kappa)|0), (r_1(\kappa)|r_2(\kappa)|\ell(\kappa)) \rangle$ be a \mathfrak{F}_q PQ-cyclic code. Then,

- (i) $a(\kappa)|r_1(\kappa)$ if and only if $r_1(\kappa) = 0$.
- (ii) $h(\kappa)|r_2(\kappa)$ if and only if $r_2(\kappa) = 0$.

Proof. Proof is parallel to that of Lemmas 5.8 and 5.9 of [20]. \square

The following Lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. Let $\mathfrak{E} = \langle (a(\kappa)|0|0), (0|h(\kappa)|0), (r_1(\kappa)|r_2(\kappa)|\ell(\kappa)) \rangle$ be a \mathfrak{F}_q PQ-cyclic code. Then, the following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathfrak{E} is separable.
- (ii) $a(\kappa)|r_1(\kappa)$, $h(\kappa)|r_2(\kappa)$.
- (iii) $\mathfrak{E} = \langle (a(\kappa)|0|0), (0|h(\kappa)|0), (0|0|\ell(\kappa)) \rangle$.

Consequently, for a separable code, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A'_\alpha &= \langle \gcd(a(\kappa), r_1(\kappa)) \rangle = \langle a(\kappa) \rangle = A_\alpha, \\ B'_\beta &= \langle \gcd(h(\kappa), r_2(\kappa)) \rangle = \langle h(\kappa) \rangle = B_\beta, \\ \mathfrak{E}'_\gamma &= \langle \ell(\kappa) \rangle = \mathfrak{E}_\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 11. Let $\mathfrak{E} = A_\alpha \otimes B_\beta \otimes \mathfrak{E}_\gamma$ be a \mathfrak{F}_q PQ-linear code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$, where A_α , B_β and \mathfrak{E}_γ are linear code of α , β and γ , respectively. Then, \mathfrak{E} is a \mathfrak{F}_q PQ cyclic code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$ if and only if A_α , B_β and \mathfrak{E}_γ are cyclic codes of length α , β and γ over \mathfrak{F}_q , P and Q , respectively.

Proof. First, we suppose that \mathfrak{E} is a \mathfrak{F}_q PQ-cyclic code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$ and $c \in \mathfrak{E}$, where

$$c = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-1})$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}) &\in A_\alpha, \\ (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-1}) &\in B_\beta, \\ (q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-1}) &\in \mathfrak{E}_\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of cyclic code, we have

$$(a_{\alpha-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-2}, p_{\beta-1}p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-2}, q_{\gamma-1}q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-2}) \in \mathfrak{E}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{\alpha-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-2}) &\in A_{\alpha}, \\ (p_{\beta-1}, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-2}) &\in B_{\beta}, \\ (q_{\gamma-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-2}) &\in \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, A_{α} , B_{β} and \mathfrak{E}_{γ} are cyclic codes of length α , β and γ over \mathfrak{F}_q , P and Q , respectively.

For the converse part, we consider that A_{α} , B_{β} and \mathfrak{E}_{γ} are cyclic codes of length α , β and γ over \mathfrak{F}_q , P and Q , respectively, and next, we will prove that $\mathfrak{E} = A_{\alpha} \otimes B_{\beta} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma}$ is a cyclic code over $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-1}) &\in A_{\alpha}, \\ (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-1}) &\in B_{\beta}, \\ (q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-1}) &\in \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

But, all are cyclic, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{\alpha-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-2}) &\in A_{\alpha}, \\ (p_{\beta-1}, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-2}) &\in B_{\beta}, \\ (q_{\gamma-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-2}) &\in \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(a_{\alpha-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha-2}, p_{\beta-1}, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{\beta-2}, q_{\gamma-1}, q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\gamma-2}) \in A_{\alpha} \otimes B_{\beta} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma} = \mathfrak{E}.$$

Consequently, \mathfrak{E} is a $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$ -cyclic code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$. \square

In view of Theorems 4, 7 and 11, we have the following result:

Corollary 5. Let $\mathfrak{E} = A_{\alpha} \otimes B_{\beta} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma}$ be a $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$ -linear code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$ such that A_{α} , B_{β} and \mathfrak{E}_{γ} are the linear codes of length α , β and γ over \mathfrak{F}_q , P and Q , respectively. Then, \mathfrak{E} is a $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$ -cyclic code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$ if and only if A_{α} , B_{β_i} and \mathfrak{E}_{γ_j} are the cyclic codes of length α , β and γ over \mathfrak{F}_q , P and Q for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$.

In Theorem 10, we studied the generator polynomial for a $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$ -cyclic code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$. Here, we examine the generator polynomial for a separable $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$ -cyclic code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$ in the manner described below.

Theorem 12. Let $\mathfrak{E} = A_{\alpha} \otimes B_{\beta} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma}$ be a $\mathfrak{F}_q PQ$ -cyclic code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$, where $A_{\alpha} = \langle a(\kappa) \rangle$, $B_{\beta} = \langle h(\kappa) \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{E}_{\gamma} = \langle \ell(\kappa) \rangle$. Then, $C = \langle a(\kappa) \rangle \otimes \langle h(\kappa) \rangle \otimes \langle \ell(\kappa) \rangle$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha} &= \langle a(\kappa) \rangle, \\ B_{\beta} &= \langle h(\kappa) \rangle, \\ \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma} &= \langle \ell(\kappa) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the proof directly follows. \square

Example 1. Let $\alpha = 11, \beta = 7, \gamma = 8$ and $Q_{11+7+8} = \frac{\mathfrak{F}_{27}[\kappa]}{\langle \kappa^{11}-1 \rangle} \times \frac{P[\kappa]}{\langle \kappa^7-1 \rangle} \times \frac{Q[\kappa]}{\langle \kappa^8-1 \rangle}$, where $P = \mathfrak{F}_{27}[v]/\langle v^3 - \alpha_2^2 v \rangle$ and $Q = \mathfrak{F}_{27}[u, v]/\langle u^2 - \alpha_1^2, v^3 - \alpha_2^2 v \rangle$. We take $\mathfrak{F}_{27} = \frac{\mathfrak{F}_3}{\langle \kappa^3 + 2\kappa + 1 \rangle}$. It can be easily seen that $\kappa^3 + 2\kappa + 1$ is a irreducible in \mathfrak{F}_3 and ω be a zero of polynomial in \mathfrak{F}_{27} , then,

$$\kappa^{11} - 1 = (\kappa + 2)(\kappa^5 + 2\kappa^3 + \kappa^2 + 2\kappa + 2)(\kappa^5 + \kappa^4 + 2\kappa^3 + \kappa^2 + 2) \in \mathfrak{F}_{27}[\kappa].$$

Let $a(\kappa) = (\kappa + 2)(\kappa^5 + 2\kappa^3 + \kappa^2 + 2\kappa + 2)$. Then, $A_\alpha = \langle a(\kappa) \rangle$ is a cyclic code of length 11 over \mathfrak{F}_{27} . Also, we have,

$$\kappa^7 - 1 = (\kappa + 2)(\kappa^2 + \omega^5\kappa + 1)(\kappa^2 + \omega^{15}\kappa + 1)(\kappa^2 + \omega^{19}\kappa + 1) \in \mathfrak{F}_{27}[\kappa].$$

Let $h_1(\kappa) = (\kappa + 2)(\kappa^2 + \omega^5\kappa + 1), h_2(\kappa) = (\kappa + 2)(\kappa^2 + \omega^{15}\kappa + 1)$ and $h_3(\kappa) = (\kappa + 2)(\kappa^2 + \omega^{19}\kappa + 1)$. Thus $B_{\beta,i} = \langle h_i(\kappa) \rangle$ are cyclic codes of length 7 over \mathfrak{F}_{27} , for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore, $B_\beta = \langle h(\kappa) \rangle$ is a cyclic code of length 7 over P .

Now, we have

$$\kappa^8 - 1 = (\kappa + 1)(\kappa + 2)(\kappa^2 + \kappa + 2)(\kappa^2 + 2\kappa + 2)(\kappa^2 + 1) \in \mathfrak{F}_{27}[\kappa].$$

Let $\ell_1(\kappa) = \ell_2(\kappa) = (\kappa + 1)(\kappa + 2)(\kappa^2 + \kappa + 2), \ell_3(\kappa) = \ell_4(\kappa) = (\kappa + 1)(\kappa + 2)(\kappa^2 + 2\kappa + 2)$ and $\ell_5(\kappa) = \ell_6(\kappa) = (\kappa + 1)(\kappa + 2)(\kappa^2 + 1)$. $\mathfrak{E}_{\gamma,j} = \langle \ell_j(\kappa) \rangle$ are cyclic codes of length 8 over \mathfrak{F}_{27} , where $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. Thus, $\mathfrak{E}_\gamma = \langle \ell(\kappa) \rangle$ is a cyclic code of length 8 over Q , where $\ell(\kappa) = \zeta_1\ell_1(\kappa) + \zeta_2\ell_2(\kappa) + \zeta_3\ell_3(\kappa) + \zeta_4\ell_4(\kappa) + \zeta_5\ell_5(\kappa) + \zeta_6\ell_6(\kappa)$. Hence, $\mathfrak{E} = \langle (a(\kappa)|0|0), (0|h(\kappa)|0), (r_1(\kappa)|r_2(\kappa)|\ell(\kappa)) \rangle = \langle a(\kappa) \rangle \otimes \langle h(\kappa) \rangle \otimes \langle \ell(\kappa) \rangle$ is a separable $\mathfrak{F}_q P Q$ -cyclic code of length $(11 + 7 + 8)$.

5.5. Quantum Error-Correcting Codes

In the present section, we will explore how to obtain quantum codes using the Calderbank–Shor–Steane (CSS) construction from [29], which utilizes dual-containing cyclic codes. The CSS construction is a powerful method for constructing quantum codes with desirable properties. By employing this construction, we can create quantum codes that outperform existing codes in terms of their parameters, such as dimension and minimum distance. We use a necessary and sufficient condition over the finite fields to obtain the condition for cyclic codes to contain their duals. It must be stated that the set of n -fold tensor product $(\mathbb{C}^q)^{\otimes n} = \mathbb{C}^q \otimes \mathbb{C}^q \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$ (n -times) is a Hilbert space of dimension q^n , and also \mathbb{C}^q is the Hilbert space of dimension q , where \mathbb{C} is the complex field. A quantum code is the subspace of Hilbert space $(\mathbb{C}^q)^{\otimes n}$. A quantum code of length n over the field \mathfrak{F}_q (q is a power of a prime) is denoted by $[[n, k, d]]_q$, where k is the dimension, and d is the minimum distance. We know that each quantum code satisfies the singleton bound, i.e., $n - k + 2 \geq 2d$. A quantum code is said to be MDS (maximum distance separable) if $n - k + 2 = 2d$.

To construct better quantum codes compared to existing ones, we focus on two main conditions:

Higher Dimension (k): One way to improve a quantum code is by increasing its dimension, denoted as k . The dimension represents the number of encoded qubits or logical operators that can be stored in the code. By constructing a CSS code with a higher dimension compared to existing codes, we can encode more information in the same number of physical qubits, leading to increased storage capacity and computational capabilities.

Larger Minimum Distance (d): The minimum distance, denoted as d , of a quantum code determines its error-correcting capability. A larger minimum distance implies better error detection and correction properties. By constructing a CSS code with a larger minimum distance compared to existing codes, we enhance its ability to protect against errors and improve the overall reliability of the encoded information.

A quantum code $[[n, k, d]]_q$ is better than the other quantum code $[[n', k', d']]_q$ if one or both the following conditions hold:

1. $\frac{k}{n} > \frac{k'}{n'}$, where $d = d'$ (larger code rate with same distance);
2. $d > d'$ where $\frac{k}{n} = \frac{k'}{n'}$ (larger distance with the same code rate).

In summary, the CSS construction, utilizing dual-containing cyclic codes, allows us to construct quantum codes. By carefully selecting the parameters of the codes involved, we can create better quantum codes compared to existing ones, with improved dimensions and minimum distances.

Lemma 4 ([29]). *[CSS Construction] If \mathfrak{E} is an $[n, k, d]$ linear code with $(\mathfrak{E}^\perp \subseteq C)$ over \mathfrak{F}_q , then there exists a QEC code with parameters $[[n, 2k - n, d]]_q$ over \mathfrak{F}_q .*

Lemma 5 ([30]). *A cyclic code \mathfrak{E} of length n over \mathfrak{F}_q with generator polynomial $f(\kappa)$ that contains its dual if and only if*

$$\kappa^n - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{f(\kappa)f^*(\kappa)},$$

where $f^*(\kappa)$ is the reciprocal polynomial of $f(\kappa)$.

Proposition 10. *Let \mathfrak{E} be a \mathfrak{F}_qPQ -linear code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$. Then, the Gray image of \mathfrak{E} , i.e., $\varphi(\mathfrak{E}) = A_\alpha \otimes B_{\beta_1} \otimes B_{\beta_2} \otimes B_{\beta_3} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_1} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_2} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_3} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_4} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_5} \otimes \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_6}$ is a linear code of length $(\alpha + 3\beta + 6\gamma)$ over \mathfrak{F}_q , where A_α, B_{β_i} and \mathfrak{E}_{γ_j} are linear codes of length α, β and γ over \mathfrak{F}_q, P and Q , respectively, for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$.*

Proof. The proof directly follows from the definition of a Gray map φ . \square

Theorem 13. *Let \mathfrak{E} be a \mathfrak{F}_qPQ -linear code of length $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$. If $A_\alpha^\perp \subseteq A_\alpha, B_{\beta_i}^\perp \subseteq B_{\beta_i}$ and $(\mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_j}^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_j})$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. Then, there exists a quantum error-correcting code having parameters $[[\alpha + 3\beta + 6\gamma, 2k - (\alpha + 3\beta + 6\gamma), d_H]]$, where d_H is the Hamming distance.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that in [25]. \square

6. Applications

In this section, we mainly focus on the applications of separable \mathfrak{F}_qPQ -cyclic codes. Using the Gray images of cyclic codes over P , we obtain a number of optimal linear codes in Table 1. Additionally, we describe several quantum codes over $\mathfrak{F}_q, P, Q, \mathfrak{F}_qP$ and \mathfrak{F}_qPQ . In Tables 2–6, we obtain MDS quantum codes, better quantum codes than the existing codes that appeared in some reference (see [25,31–35] for details) and new quantum codes, respectively. The Magma computation system [36] is used to complete all of the computations in these examples and tables, and we take $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$ in the rings P and Q .

The invertible matrices A used to construct the quantum codes are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_3(\mathfrak{F}_5) & A_{12} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 5 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_3(\mathfrak{F}_7) \\ A_{13} &= \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 2 & 1 \\ 10 & 9 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_3(\mathfrak{F}_{11}) & A_{14} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 15 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 15 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_3(\mathfrak{F}_{17}) \\ A_{21} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_6(\mathfrak{F}_5) & A_{22} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_6(\mathfrak{F}_7) \end{aligned}$$

Example 2. $\beta = 4, \alpha_2 = 1, q = 5$, and $P = \mathfrak{F}_5[v]/\langle v^3 - v \rangle$.

Then,

$$\kappa^4 - 1 = (\kappa + 1)(\kappa + 2)(\kappa + 3)(\kappa + 4) \in \mathfrak{F}_5[\kappa].$$

take $h_1(\kappa) = \kappa^2 + 1$, $h_2(\kappa) = h_3(\kappa) = (\kappa + 1)$. Also, we let

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

such that $A_1 A_1^T = 4I_{3 \times 3}$, where $I_{3 \times 3}$ is an identity matrix of order 3. Then, B_β be a cyclic code of length 4 over P and Gray image $\varphi_P(B_\beta)$ having the parameters $[12, 8, 4]_5$ which is an optimal code as per the database [37].

Example 3. Let $q = 5$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$, $\alpha = 10$, $\beta = 10$, $\gamma = 10$ and $Q_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} = \frac{\mathfrak{F}_5[\kappa]}{(\kappa^{10}-1)} \times \frac{P[\kappa]}{(\kappa^{10}-1)} \times \frac{Q[\kappa]}{(\kappa^{10}-1)}$, where $P = \mathfrak{F}_5[v]/\langle v^3 - v \rangle$ and $Q = \mathfrak{F}_5[u, v]/\langle u^2 - 1, v^3 - v \rangle$. Now, $\kappa^{10} - 1 = (\kappa + 1)^5(\kappa + 4)^5 \in \mathfrak{F}_{10}[\kappa]$. We take $a(\kappa) = (\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 4) \in \mathfrak{F}_5[\kappa]$ and $A_\alpha = \langle a(\kappa) \rangle$ be a cyclic code of length 10 over $\mathfrak{F}_5[\kappa]$ with the parameters $[10, 7, 3]_5$. Again, $\kappa^{10} - 1 = (\kappa + 1)^5(\kappa + 4)^5 \in \mathfrak{F}_5[\kappa]$. We take $h_1(\kappa) = (\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 4)$, $h_2(\kappa) = (\kappa + 1)$, $h_3(\kappa) = 1$. Let

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

such that $A_1 A_1^T = 4I_{3 \times 3}$, where $I_{3 \times 3}$ is an identity matrix of order 3. Then, B_β is a cyclic code of length 10 on P and its Gray image have the parameters $[30, 26, 3]_5$ over \mathfrak{F}_5 . Next, let us consider that $\kappa^{10} - 1 = (\kappa + 1)^5(\kappa + 4)^5 \in \mathfrak{F}_5[\kappa]$. We take $\ell_1(\kappa) = \ell_4(\kappa) = (\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 4) \in \mathfrak{F}_5[\kappa]$, $\ell_3(\kappa) = \ell_5(\kappa) = (\kappa + 1)$ and $\ell_2(\kappa) = \ell_6(\kappa) = 1$. Take

$$A_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

such that $A_1 A_1^T = 4I_{6 \times 6}$, where $I_{6 \times 6}$ is an identity matrix of order 6. Then, \mathfrak{E}_{γ_j} be the cyclic code of length 10 over Q and its Gray image has the parameters $[60, 52, 3]_5$ over \mathfrak{F}_5 . Then, $\varphi(\mathfrak{E})$ is a linear code having the parameters $[100, 85, 3]_5$ over \mathfrak{F}_5 . It is clear that, $\kappa^{10} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{h_i(\kappa)h_i^*(\kappa)}$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$. Also, $\kappa^{10} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell_j(\kappa)\ell_j^*(\kappa)}$, where $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. With the help of Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_\alpha^\perp &\subseteq A_\alpha; \\ B_{\beta_i}^\perp &\subseteq B_{\beta_i}; \\ \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_j}^\perp &\subseteq \mathfrak{E}_{\gamma_j}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the Theorem 13, there exists a quantum error-correcting code with the parameters $[[100, 70, 3]]_5$. This is a new quantum code according to the database [38].

In Table 1, we obtain the optimal linear codes. In Table 2, we obtain MDS quantum error-correcting codes over \mathfrak{F}_q , and in Tables 3 and 4, we obtain better quantum error-correcting codes than previously known quantum error-correcting codes. In Tables 5 and 6, we obtain new quantum error-correcting codes over \mathfrak{F}_qP and \mathfrak{F}_qPQ .

Table 1. Gray images of cyclic codes over P .

n	$h_1(\kappa)$	$h_2(\kappa)$	$h_3(\kappa)$	$\varphi_P(C)$	Remarks
4	$(\kappa^2 + 1)$	$\kappa + 1$	$\kappa + 1$	$[12, 8, 4]_5$	optimal
5	$(\kappa + 4)^3$	$\kappa + 4$	$\kappa + 4$	$[15, 10, 4]_5$	optimal
6	$(\kappa^2 + \kappa + 1)$	$\kappa + 1$	$\kappa + 1$	$[18, 14, 4]_5$	optimal
7	$\kappa^6 + \kappa^5 + \kappa^4 + \kappa^3 + \kappa^2 + \kappa + 1$	$\kappa + 4$	$\kappa + 4$	$[21, 13, 4]_5$...
8	$(\kappa + 2)(\kappa^2 + 2)$	$\kappa + 2$	$\kappa + 1$	$[24, 19, 4]_5$	optimal
10	$(\kappa + 1)(\kappa + 4)^2$	$\kappa + 1$	1	$[30, 26, 3]_5$	optimal
12	$(\kappa + 4)(\kappa^2 + 2\kappa + 2)$	$\kappa + 1$	$\kappa + 1$	$[36, 31, 4]_5$	optimal

Table 2. MDS Quantum codes over \mathfrak{F}_q .

α	$\alpha(\kappa)$	Parameters of A_α	Quantum Codes	Remarks
3	$(\kappa + 2)$	$[3, 2, 2]_3$	$[[3, 1, 3]]_3$	MDS Code
4	$(\kappa + 1)(\kappa + 2)$	$[4, 2, 3]_5$	$[[4, 0, 3]]_5$	MDS Code
5	$(\kappa + 4)^2$	$[5, 3, 3]_5$	$[[5, 1, 3]]_5$	MDS Code
6	$(\kappa + 1)(\kappa^2 + \kappa + 1)$	$[6, 3, 4]_5$	$[[6, 0, 4]]_5$	MDS Code
7	$(\kappa + 6)^2$	$[7, 5, 3]_7$	$[[7, 3, 3]]_7$	MDS Code
7	$(\kappa + 6)^3$	$[7, 4, 3]_7$	$[[7, 1, 4]]_7$	MDS Code
8	$(\kappa + 1)(\kappa^2 + 3\kappa + 1)$	$[8, 5, 4]_7$	$[[8, 2, 4]]_7$	MDS Code

Table 3. Quantum codes over P .

β	$h_1(\kappa)$	$h_2(\kappa)$	$h_3(\kappa)$	Gray Image over P	Quantum Codes	Known Quantum Codes
25	$(\kappa + 4)^6$	$(\kappa + 4)$	1	$[75, 68, 3]$	$[[75, 61, 3]]_5$	New Quantum code
30	$(\kappa + 4)^2(\kappa^2 + 4\kappa + 1)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	$[90, 85, 3]$	$[[90, 80, 3]]_5$	$[[90, 72, 2]]_5$ [32]
40	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa^2 + 3)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	$[120, 115, 3]$	$[[120, 110, 3]]_5$	$[[120, 104, 2]]_5$ [31]
60	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa^2 + 3\kappa + 4)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	$[180, 175, 3]$	$[[180, 170, 3]]_5$	$[[168, 126, 3]]_5$ [25]
7	$(\kappa + 6)^3$	$(\kappa + 6)$	$\kappa + 6$	$[[21, 16, 4]]$	$[21, 11, 4]_7$...
21	$(\kappa + 3)^3(\kappa + 5)$	$\kappa + 6$	1	$[63, 58, 3]$	$[[63, 53, 3]]_7$	New Quantum code
28	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa^2 + 1)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	$[84, 79, 3]$	$[[84, 74, 3]]_7$	$[[84, 72, 3]]_7$ [33]
35	$(\kappa + 6)^3(\kappa^4 + \kappa^3 + \kappa^2 + \kappa + 1)$	$\kappa + 6$	$\kappa + 1$	$[105, 96, 4]$	$[[105, 87, 4]]_7$	$[[108, 28, 3]]_7$ [34]
77	$(\kappa + 6)^3(\kappa^{10} + \kappa^9 + \kappa^8 + \kappa^7 + \kappa^6 + \kappa^5 + \kappa^4 + \kappa^3 + \kappa^2 + \kappa + 1)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	$[231, 216, 4]$	$[[231, 201, 4]]_7$	$[[228, 196, 3]]_7$ [35]
11	$(\kappa + 10)^3$	$(\kappa + 10)$	$(\kappa + 10)$	$[33, 28, 4]$	$[[33, 23, 4]]_{11}$	New Quantum code
11	$(\kappa + 10)^5$	$(\kappa + 10)^3$	$(\kappa + 10)$	$[[33, 24, 6]]$	$[[33, 15, 6]]_{11}$	New Quantum code
17	$(\kappa + 16)^3$	$(\kappa + 16)$	$(\kappa + 16)$	$[51, 46, 4]$	$[[51, 41, 4]]_{17}$	New Quantum code

Table 4. Quantum codes over Q .

γ	$\ell_1(\kappa) = \ell_4(\kappa)$	$\ell_3(\kappa) = \ell_5(\kappa)$	$\ell_2(\kappa) = \ell_6(\kappa)$	Gray Image over P	Quantum Codes	Known Quantum Codes
5	$(\kappa + 4)^2$	$\kappa + 4$	1	[30, 24, 3]	$[[30, 18, 3]]_5$	New Quantum code
8	$(\kappa + 2)(\kappa^2 + 2)$	$\kappa + 2$	1	[48, 40, 3]	$[[48, 32, 3]]_5$...
10	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 4)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	[60, 52, 3]	$[[60, 44, 3]]_5$	New Quantum code
14	$(\kappa + 1)^3(\kappa + 6)$	$\kappa + 1$	$\kappa + 1$	[84, 72, 4]	$[[84, 60, 4]]_7$	$[[84, 60, 4]]_7$ [34]
18	$(\kappa + 3)(\kappa^3 + 2)(\kappa^3 + 3)$	$\kappa + 3$	$\kappa + 3$	[108, 90, 4]	$[[108, 72, 4]]_7$	$[[108, 28, 3]]_7$ [34]
30	$(\kappa + 4)^2(\kappa^2 + 4\kappa + 1)$	$\kappa + 4$	1	[180, 170, 3]	$[[180, 160, 3]]_5$	$[[180, 156, 2]]_5$ [32]
35	$(\kappa + 4)^2(\kappa^6 + \kappa^5 + \kappa^4 + \kappa^3 + \kappa^2 + \kappa + 1)$	$\kappa + 4$	1	[210, 192, 3]	$[[210, 174, 3]]_5$	$[[210, 150, 2]]_5$ [32]
38	$(\kappa^3 + 3\kappa^2 + 4\kappa + 1)$	$\kappa^3 + 2\kappa + 6$	1	[228, 216, 3]	$[[228, 204, 3]]_7$	$[[228, 196, 3]]_7$ [34]
42	$(\kappa + 1)(\kappa + 3)^2$	$\kappa + 1$	1	[252, 244, 3]	$[[252, 236, 3]]_7$	$[[252, 212, 3]]_7$ [34]

Table 5. Quantum codes over $\mathfrak{F}_q P$.

α	β	$\alpha(\kappa)$	$h_1(\kappa)$	$h_2(\kappa)$	$h_3(\kappa)$	Gray Image over $\mathfrak{F}_q P$	New Quantum Codes
10	5	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 4)$	$(\kappa + 4)^2$	$\kappa + 4$	1	[25, 19, 3]	$[[25, 13, 3]]_5$
15	10	$(\kappa^2 + \kappa + 1)(\kappa + 4)^2$	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 4)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	[45, 37, 3]	$[[45, 29, 3]]_5$
20	20	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 2)$	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 2)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	[80, 73, 3]	$[[80, 66, 3]]_5$
30	20	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa^2 + \kappa + 1)$	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 2)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	[90, 82, 3]	$[[90, 74, 3]]_5$
7	7	$(\kappa + 6)^3$	$(\kappa + 6)^3$	$\kappa + 6$	$\kappa + 6$	[28, 20, 4]	$[[28, 12, 4]]_7$
14	7	$(\kappa + 6)^3(\kappa + 1)$	$(\kappa + 6)^3$	$\kappa + 6$	$\kappa + 6$	[35, 26, 4]	$[[35, 17, 4]]_7$
28	28	$(\kappa + 1)^3(\kappa^2 + 1)$	$(\kappa + 1)^3(\kappa^2 + 1)$	$\kappa + 1$	$\kappa + 1$	[112, 100, 4]	$[[112, 88, 4]]_7$
42	56	$(\kappa + 1)^3(\kappa + 2)(\kappa + 3)$	$(\kappa + 1)^3(\kappa + 2)(\kappa + 3)$	$\kappa + 1$	$\kappa + 1$	[210, 198, 4]	$[[210, 186, 4]]_7$

Table 6. Quantum codes over \mathfrak{F}_qPQ .

α	β	γ	$\alpha(\kappa)$	$h_1(\kappa)$	$h_2(\kappa)$	$h_3(\kappa)$	$\ell_1(\kappa) = \ell_4(\kappa)$	$\ell_3(\kappa) = \ell_5(\kappa)$	$\ell_2(\kappa) = \ell_6(\kappa)$	Gray Image over \mathfrak{F}_qPQ	New Quantum Codes
5	5	5	$(\kappa + 4)^2$	$(\kappa + 4)^2$	$\kappa + 4$	1	$(\kappa + 4)^2$	$\kappa + 4$	1	[50, 39, 3]	$[[50, 28, 3]]_5$
10	10	10	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 4)$	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 4)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 4)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	[100, 85, 3]	$[[100, 70, 3]]_5$
10	20	30	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 4)$	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 2)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa^2 + \kappa + 1)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	[250, 233, 3]	$[[250, 216, 3]]_5$
30	20	40	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa^2 + \kappa + 1)$	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa + 2)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa^2 + 2)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	[330, 312, 3]	$[[330, 294, 3]]_5$
7	21	14	$(\kappa + 6)^3$	$(\kappa + 3)^3(\kappa + 5)(\kappa + 6)$	$\kappa + 3$	1	$(\kappa + 1)^3(\kappa + 6)$	$\kappa + 1$	$\kappa + 1$	[154, 132, 4]	$[[154, 110, 4]]_7$
14	28	35	$(\kappa + 1)^3(\kappa + 6)$	$(\kappa + 1)^3(\kappa^2 + 1)$	$\kappa + 1$	$\kappa + 1$	$(\kappa + 6)^3(\kappa^4 + \kappa^3 + \kappa^2 + \kappa + 6)$	$\kappa + 6$	$\kappa + 6$	[308, 279, 4]	$[[308, 250, 4]]_7$
48	84	70	$(\kappa + 3)(\kappa^3 + \kappa + 3)$	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa^2 + 2)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa^4 + \kappa^3 + \kappa^2 + \kappa + 1)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	[720, 697, 3]	$[[720, 674, 3]]_7$
63	56	98	$(\kappa + 3)^2(\kappa^3 + 3)$	$(\kappa + 1)^2(\kappa^2 + 3\kappa + 1)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	$(\kappa + 1)^8(\kappa + 6)$	$\kappa + 1$	1	[819, 789, 3]	$[[819, 759, 3]]_7$

7. Conclusions

In this work, cyclic codes over \mathfrak{F}_qPQ are introduced, where $P = \frac{\mathfrak{F}_q[v]}{\langle v^3 - \alpha_2^2 v \rangle}$ and $Q = \frac{\mathfrak{F}_q[u,v]}{\langle u^2 - \alpha_1^2 v^3 - \alpha_2^2 v \rangle}$ are nonchain finite rings and α_i are in $\mathfrak{F}_q/\{0\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $q = p^m$ with $m \geq 1$ a positive integer and p is an odd prime. We reviewed some characteristics of \mathfrak{F}_qPQ -cyclic codes and defined a Gray map over \mathfrak{F}_qPQ . As an application, we constructed quantum error-correcting (QEC) codes using \mathfrak{F}_qPQ -cyclic codes. This analysis can be applied to the product of finite rings in general.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization and supervision of the study, S.A.; investigation and preparation of the original draft of the manuscript, A.S.A., P.S., K.B.W., E.S.Ö and M.J. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was carried out with financial support from Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University (PNU), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not Applicable

Data Availability Statement: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no data sets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Acknowledgments: The authors are very thankful to the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions, which have improved the manuscript immensely. Moreover, the authors extend their appreciation to Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University (PNU), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, for funding this research under Researchers Supporting Project Number (PNURSP2023R231).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Prange, E. *Some Cyclic Error-Correcting Codes with Simple Decoding Algorithm*; AFCRC-TN-58-156: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1985.
2. Sloane, N.; Thompson, J. Cyclic self-dual codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **1983**, *29*, 364–366. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Hammons, A.R.; Kumar, P.V.; Calderbank, A.R.; Sloane, N.J.A.; Sole, P. The \mathbb{Z}_4 linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals and related codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **1994**, *40*, 301–319. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Gao, J.; Zhang, Y.; Meng, X.; Fu, F.W. Minimal linear codes from defining sets over $F_p + uF_p$. *Discret. Math.* **2023**, *346*, 113584. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Gao, J.; Meng, X.; Fu, F.W. Weight distributions of generalized quasi-cyclic codes over $F_q + uF_q$. *Finite Fields Their Appl.* **2023**, *88*, 102181. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Pereira, F.R.F.; Mancini, S. Entanglement-assisted quantum codes from cyclic codes. *Entropy* **2022**, *25*, 37. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Brouwer, A.; Hamalainen, H.; Ostergard, P.; Sloane, N.J.A. Bounds on mixed binary/ternary codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **1998**, *44*, 140–161. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Borges, J.; Cordoba, C.F.; Valls, R.T. \mathbb{Z}_2 -double cyclic codes. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **2018**, *86*, 463–479. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Abualrub, T.; Siap, I.; Aydin, N. $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -Additive cyclic and codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **2014**, *60*, 1508–1514. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Aydogdu, I.; Siap, I. On $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -additive codes. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **2015**, *63*, 2089–2102. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Aydogdu, I.; Siap, I. The structure of $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ -additive codes: Bounds on the minimum distance. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **2013**, *7*, 2271–2278. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Borges, J.; Fernández-Córdoba, C.; Ten-Valls, R. $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic codes, generator polynomials and dual codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **2016**, *62*, 6348–6354. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Aydogdu, I.; Abualrub, T.; Siap, I. On $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive codes. *Int. J. Comput. Math.* **2015**, *92*, 1806–1814. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Shi, M.; Wu, R.; Krotov, D.S. On $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -Additive codes and their duality. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **2018**, *65*, 3841–3847. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
15. Aydogdu, I.; Abualrub, T.; Siap, I. On $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -cyclic and constacyclic codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **2017**, *63*, 4883–4893. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Srinivasulu, B.; Bhaintwal, M. $\mathbb{Z}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2 + u\mathbb{Z}_2)$ -additive cyclic codes and their duals. *Discrete Math. Algorithm. Appl.* **2016**, *8*, 1650027. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Gao, J.; Wu, T.; Fu, F.W. Hulls of double cyclic codes. *Finite Fields Their Appl.* **2023**, *88*, 102189. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
18. Gao, J.; Shi, M.J.; Wu, T.; Fu, F.W. On double cyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 . *Finite Fields Appl.* **2016**, *39*, 233–250. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. Mostafanasab, H. Triple cyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_2 . *Palest. J. Math.* **2017**, *46*, 123–134.
20. Wu, T.; Gao, J.; Gao, Y.; Fu, F.W. $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic codes. *Adv. Math. Commun.* **2018**, *12*, 641–657. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Aydogdu, I.; Gursoy, F. $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4\mathbb{Z}_8$ -cyclic codes. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **2019**, *60*, 327–341. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. Gao, J.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Fu, F.W. New MDS EAQECCs derived from constacyclic codes over $F_{q^2} + vF_{q^2}$. *Discret. Math.* **2023**, *346*, 113513. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

23. Lin, L.; Zhang, Y.; Hou, X.; Gao, J. New MDS EAQECCs from constacyclic codes over finite nonchain rings. *Quantum Inf. Process.* **2023**, *22*, 250. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Zhang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Hou, X.; Gao, J. New MDS operator quantum error-correcting codes derived from constacyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_q 2 + v \mathbb{F}_q 2$. *Quantum Inf. Process.* **2023**, *22*, 247. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Dinh, H.Q.; Bag, T.; Upadhyay, A. K.; Bandi, R.; Chinnakum, W. On the Structure of Cyclic Codes Over $\mathbb{F}_q RS$ and Applications in Quantum and LCD Codes Constructions. *IEEE Access* **2020**, *8*, 18902–18914. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Ashraf, M.; Khan, N.; Mohammad, G. Quantum codes from cyclic codes over the mixed alphabet structure. *Quantum Inf. Process.* **2022**, *21*, 1–25. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Ali, S.; Alali, A.S.; Oztas, E.S.; Sharma, P. Construction of quantum codes over the class of commutative rings and their applications to DNA codes. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 1430. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Hill, R. *A First Course in Coding Theory*; Clarendon Press: Oxford, UK, 1986.
29. Grassl, M.; Beth, T.; Roetteler, M. On optimal quantum codes. *Int. J. Quantum Inform.* **2004**, *2*, 55–64. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Calderbank, A.R.; Rains, E.M.; Shor, P.M.; Sloane, N.J.A. Quantum error correction via codes over $GF(4)$. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **1998**, *44*, 1369–1387. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Ashraf, M.; Mohammad, G. Quantum codes from cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_q + u\mathbb{F}_q + v\mathbb{F}_q + uv\mathbb{F}_q$. *Quantum Inf. Process.* **2016**, *15*, 4089–4098. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Ashraf, M.; Mohammad, G. Quantum codes over \mathbb{F}_p from cyclic codes over $\frac{\mathbb{F}_p[u,v]}{\langle u^2-1, v^3-v, uv-vu \rangle}$. *Cryptogr. Commun.* **2019**, *11*, 325–335. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Islam, H.; Prakash, O. Construction of LCD and new quantum codes from cyclic codes over a finite nonchain ring. *Cryptogr. Commun.* **2022**, *14*, 59–73. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Islam, H.; Prakash, O. Quantum codes from the cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_p[u, v, w]/\langle u^2 - 1, v^2 - 1, w^2 - 1, uv - vu, vw - wv, uw -wu \rangle$. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **2019**, *60*, 625–635. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Islam, H.; Prakash, O.; Verma, R.K. Quantum codes from the cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_p[v, w]/\langle v^2 - 1, w^2 - 1, vw - wv \rangle$. *Proc. Math. Stat.* **2019**, *307*, 67–74.
36. Bosma, W.; Cannon, J. *Handbook of Magma Functions*; University of Sydney: Camperdown, Australia, 1995.
37. Grassl, M. Code Tables: Bounds on the Parameters of Various Types of Codes. Available online: <http://www.codetables.de/> (accessed on 20 April 2021).
38. Aydin, N.; Liu, P.; Yoshino, B. A Database of Quantum Codes. 2021. Available online: <http://quantumcodes.info/> (accessed on 7 August 2021).

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.